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## Cobb Fifth Graders Make Gains on Writing Test

Cobb County fifth graders showed strong improvement on the 2008 Georgia writing assessment, increasing both the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards, and their scale scores. Eighty-one percent of fifth graders in the Cobb County School District met or exceeded standards, a three-percent improvement from 2007. Scale scores in Cobb also improved, increasing by four points from 216 to 220. The percent passing topped the state average, and scale scores in Cobb were higher than both state and metro Atlanta averages (See Table I).

The writing assessment includes information about student performance in different aspects of writing or domains. These domains include Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions. Domains are rated on a scale of 1 to 5. Performance of Cobb fifth graders as a whole was similar across all domains, ranging from 3.1 in Organization, Ideas, and Conventions to 3.2 in Style.

The performance of students in special populations (Special Education and English Language Learners) was a highlight of this year's results (See Table II). The percentage of English Language Learners meeting or exceeding standards improved from 39 percent to 48 percent, while the percentage of Special Education students rose from 45 percent to 52 percent. Average scale scores for English Language Learners improved two points, from 187 to 189, while Special Education students improved their average score five points, from 190 to 195. A gap continues to exist between the performance of regular education students and students in these special populations, but 2008 scores indicate a marked improvement.
"These results confirm what we have seen happening in schools as teachers work closely with students to teach the language arts standards," said Superintendent Fred Sanderson. "We are seeing better performance for all students, and are especially pleased to see the gains in special populations. We are continuing to address the gap between our regular education students and certain subgroups. Addressing these issues is critical for meeting No Child Left Behind standards on other assessments, as well. Language Arts supervisors will work with the schools to evaluate the results from the new assessment and develop plans for improvement."

Thirty-seven of Cobb elementary schools topped the state scale scores. Highest scores were posted by Tritt (251); Mount Bethel (249) and Timber Ridge (247). Eighteen Cobb schools reported more than 90 percent of students meet or exceed standards (Bullard, Chalker, Davis, Due West, Eastside, Ford, Frey, Kemp, Kennesaw

Charter, Lewis, Mt. Bethel, Mountain View, Murdock, Rocky Mount, Shallowford Falls, Sope Creek, Timber Ridge, Tritt).

As a part of the statewide testing program, the Fifth Grade Writing Assessment was administered in March and requires students to write a composition on an assigned topic. The assessment provides fifth graders with a measurement of their writing performance. This information can help students, parents, and teachers understand areas where students may need to focus their efforts to improve writing skills before taking the Eighth Grade Writing Assessment.

Each student paper is scored by professionals who have been trained to evaluate writing. Evaluators score student compositions on four qualities of effective writing. These qualities, or domains, of effective writing should be present in a composition regardless of the topic. The domains include Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions.

The scale score range for the new Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 . Writing scores are reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

More information about the Cobb County School District is available on the District Web site at www.cobbk12.org.

## Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2008

All Students

|  | \# Tested | Mean Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |
| Cobb | 7,779 | 220 | 19.0 | 66.0 | 15.0 | 81.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Metro Resa* |  | 219 | 19.0 | 68.0 | 14.0 | 82.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Georgia | 119,140 | 214 | 22.0 | 66.0 | 11.0 | 77.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Acworth | 193 | 215 | 21.0 | 66.0 | 13.0 | 79.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Addison | 104 | 221 | 17.0 | 62.0 | 21.0 | 83.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Argyle | 96 | 207 | 25.0 | 73.0 | 2.0 | 75.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Austell | 159 | 202 | 44.0 | 53.0 | 3.0 | 56.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Baker | 116 | 212 | 20.0 | 71.0 | 9.0 | 80.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Bells Ferry | 114 | 220 | 16.0 | 72.0 | 12.0 | 84.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Belmont Hills | 87 | 196 | 47.0 | 53.0 | 0.0 | 53.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Big Shanty | 233 | 227 | 13.0 | 65.0 | 22.0 | 87.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Birney | 128 | 207 | 33.0 | 60.0 | 7.0 | 67.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Blackwell | 126 | 215 | 22.0 | 67.0 | 10.0 | 77.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Brown | 21 | 200 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 5.0 | 53.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Brumby | 113 | 204 | 31.0 | 65.0 | 4.0 | 69.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Bryant | 120 | 211 | 24.0 | 67.0 | 9.0 | 76.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Bullard | 230 | 229 | 7.0 | 73.0 | 20.0 | 93.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Chalker | 151 | 227 | 9.0 | 74.0 | 17.0 | 91.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Cheatham Hill | 176 | 225 | 15.0 | 68.0 | 17.0 | 85.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Clarkdale | 65 | 207 | 28.0 | 68.0 | 5.0 | 73.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Clay | 91 | 206 | 34.0 | 59.0 | 7.0 | 66.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Compton | 87 | 195 | 46.0 | 53.0 | 1.0 | 54.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Davis | 131 | 241 | 5.0 | 63.0 | 33.0 | 96.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Devereux | 4 | 151 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Dowell | 145 | 208 | 26.0 | 68.0 | 6.0 | 74.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Due West | 90 | 226 | 9.0 | 78.0 | 13.0 | 91.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| East Side | 160 | 242 | 9.0 | 57.0 | 34.0 | 91.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Eastvalley | 83 | 227 | 16.0 | 64.0 | 20.0 | 84.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Fair Oaks | 96 | 197 | 40.0 | 56.0 | 4.0 | 60.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Ford | 179 | 228 | 9.0 | 71.0 | 20.0 | 91.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Frey | 148 | 226 | 8.0 | 77.0 | 15.0 | 92.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Garrison Mill | 108 | 234 | 13.0 | 59.0 | 28.0 | 87.0 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Green Acres | 68 | 201 | 38.0 | 62.0 | 0.0 | 62.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Harmony Leland | 64 | 219 | 16.0 | 72.0 | 13.0 | 85.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Hayes | 186 | 208 | 25.0 | 70.0 | 4.0 | 74.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Hollydale | 137 | 206 | 31.0 | 65.0 | 4.0 | 69.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Keheley | 83 | 223 | 14.0 | 72.0 | 13.0 | 85.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Kemp | 154 | 230 | 6.0 | 69.0 | 24.0 | 93.0 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 |


|  | \# Tested | Mean Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |
| Kennesaw Charter | 46 | 230 | 2.0 | 78.0 | 20.0 | 98.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Kincaid | 86 | 226 | 10.0 | 73.0 | 16.0 | 89.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| King Springs | 86 | 223 | 15.0 | 66.0 | 19.0 | 85.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| LaBelle | 69 | 198 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Lewis | 183 | 224 | 10.0 | 75.0 | 15.0 | 90.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Mableton | 60 | 212 | 32.0 | 55.0 | 13.0 | 68.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Mableton Imagine Char | 63 | 214 | 19.0 | 78.0 | 3.0 | 81.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Milford | 100 | 209 | 28.0 | 66.0 | 6.0 | 72.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Mount Bethel | 158 | 249 | 3.0 | 56.0 | 41.0 | 97.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Mountain View | 131 | 233 | 8.0 | 69.0 | 23.0 | 92.0 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Murdock | 127 | 230 | 10.0 | 65.0 | 25.0 | 90.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Nicholson | 83 | 232 | 19.0 | 54.0 | 27.0 | 81.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Nickajack | 104 | 213 | 19.0 | 76.0 | 5.0 | 81.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Norton Park | 98 | 201 | 34.0 | 63.0 | 3.0 | 66.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Pitner | 174 | 219 | 20.0 | 67.0 | 13.0 | 80.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Powder Springs | 147 | 210 | 20.0 | 71.0 | 9.0 | 80.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Powers Ferry | 71 | 205 | 34.0 | 62.0 | 4.0 | 66.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Riverside | 183 | 198 | 42.0 | 57.0 | 1.0 | 58.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Rocky Mount | 101 | 229 | 9.0 | 71.0 | 20.0 | 91.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Russell | 93 | 207 | 27.0 | 65.0 | 9.0 | 74.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Sanders | 128 | 206 | 26.0 | 70.0 | 5.0 | 75.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Sedalia Park | 101 | 219 | 18.0 | 70.0 | 12.0 | 82.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Shallowford Falls | 112 | 236 | 10.0 | 59.0 | 31.0 | 90.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Sky View | 57 | 212 | 21.0 | 74.0 | 5.0 | 79.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Smyrna Imagine Int | 47 | 213 | 21.0 | 79.0 | 0.0 | 79.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Sope Creek | 187 | 240 | 7.0 | 64.0 | 28.0 | 92.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Still | 127 | 219 | 12.0 | 79.0 | 9.0 | 88.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Teasley | 66 | 221 | 14.0 | 71.0 | 15.0 | 86.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Timber Ridge | 69 | 247 | 9.0 | 55.0 | 36.0 | 91.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Tritt | 149 | 251 | 1.0 | 57.0 | 42.0 | 99.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Varner | 136 | 213 | 25.0 | 68.0 | 7.0 | 75.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Vaughan | 191 | 218 | 12.0 | 77.0 | 11.0 | 88.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |

*RESA: Atlanta, Buford, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett and Rockdale Counties, Decatur and Marietta City systems

DNM = Did Not Meet Standards (Score of 100-199)
M = Met Standards (Score of 200-249)
**Scoring Information for Domains
E = Exceeded Standards (Score of 250-350)
Range 1 (low) to 5 (high)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Tested | Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |

$\% \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{E}=$ Percentage of students meeting and exceeding standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEORGIA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 119,140 | 214 | 23 | 66 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 104,981 | 218 | 19 | 70 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | 3,799 | 196 | 47 | 51 | 2 |
| Special Education | 14,159 | 185 | 57 | 40 | 3 |
| COBB COUNTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 7779 | 220 | 19 | 66 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 6698 | 223 | 14 | 69 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | 376 | 189 | 52 | 47 | 1 |
| Special Education | 1081 | 195 | 48 | 47 | 5 |
| ACWORTH |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 193 | 215 | 21 | 66 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 168 | 219 | 15 | 71 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 25 | 185 | 60 | 32 | 8 |
| ADDISON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 104 | 221 | 17 | 62 | 21 |
| Regular Program Students | 81 | 228 | 10 | 65 | 25 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 23 | 196 | 43 | 48 | 9 |
| ARGYLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 96 | 207 | 25 | 73 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 87 | 209 | 20 | 78 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 187 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| AUSTELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 159 | 202 | 44 | 53 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 126 | 207 | 37 | 60 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 33 | 184 | 73 | 27 | 0 |
| BAKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 116 | 212 | 20 | 71 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 104 | 216 | 14 | 75 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 12 | 174 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| BELLS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 114 | 220 | 16 | 72 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 101 | 224 | 11 | 75 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 184 | 54 | 46 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BELMONT HILLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 87 | 196 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 72 | 200 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 22 | 186 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| Special Education | 15 | 180 | 73 | 27 | 0 |
| BIG SHANTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 233 | 227 | 13 | 65 | 22 |
| Regular Program Students | 203 | 231 | 9 | 66 | 25 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 30 | 196 | 37 | 57 | 7 |
| BIRNEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 128 | 207 | 33 | 60 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 116 | 209 | 29 | 64 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 14 | 190 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| Special Education | 12 | 186 | 67 | 25 | 8 |
| BLACKWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 126 | 215 | 22 | 67 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 97 | 218 | 19 | 70 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | 29 | 206 | 34 | 59 | 7 |
| BROWN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 21 | 200 | 48 | 48 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 16 | 207 | 44 | 50 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| BRUMBY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 113 | 204 | 31 | 65 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 209 | 26 | 69 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 186 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Special Education | 17 | 174 | 59 | 41 | 0 |
| BRYANT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 120 | 211 | 24 | 67 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 111 | 213 | 22 | 68 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| BULLARD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 230 | 229 | 7 | 73 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 206 | 234 | 2 | 75 | 23 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 192 | 42 | 58 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHALKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 151 | 227 | 9 | 74 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 143 | 230 | 6 | 76 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| CHEATHAM HILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 176 | 225 | 15 | 68 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 153 | 229 | 11 | 69 | 20 |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 200 | 33 | 67 | 0 |
| Special Education | 23 | 200 | 43 | 57 | 0 |
| CLARKDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 65 | 207 | 28 | 68 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 58 | 210 | 26 | 69 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| CLAY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 91 | 206 | 34 | 59 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 78 | 210 | 27 | 65 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | 24 | 189 | 54 | 46 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 180 | 77 | 23 | 0 |
| COMPTON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 87 | 195 | 46 | 53 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 69 | 201 | 38 | 61 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 171 | 78 | 22 | 0 |
| DAVIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 131 | 241 | 5 | 63 | 33 |
| Regular Program Students | 109 | 247 | 2 | 61 | 38 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 22 | 210 | 18 | 73 | 9 |
| DEVEREUX |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Regular Program Students | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| DOWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 145 | 208 | 26 | 68 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 127 | 212 | 20 | 73 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 177 | 67 | 33 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUE WEST |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 90 | 226 | 9 | 78 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 79 | 229 | 6 | 80 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 208 | 27 | 64 | 9 |
| EAST VALLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 83 | 227 | 16 | 64 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 74 | 230 | 12 | 65 | 23 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| EASTSIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 160 | 242 | 9 | 57 | 34 |
| Regular Program Students | 134 | 250 | 2 | 59 | 39 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 26 | 203 | 42 | 46 | 12 |
| FAIR OAKS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 96 | 197 | 40 | 56 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 204 | 34 | 61 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | 18 | 175 | 72 | 28 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 156 | 77 | 23 | 0 |
| FORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 179 | 228 | 9 | 71 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 155 | 232 | 4 | 74 | 22 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 206 | 42 | 50 | 8 |
| FREY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 148 | 226 | 8 | 77 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 132 | 227 | 8 | 77 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 214 | 13 | 81 | 6 |
| GARRISON MILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 108 | 234 | 13 | 59 | 28 |
| Regular Program Students | 87 | 238 | 8 | 61 | 31 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 215 | 33 | 52 | 14 |
| GREEN ACRES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 68 | 201 | 38 | 62 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 57 | 204 | 35 | 65 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 194 | 45 | 55 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 186 | 55 | 45 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HARMONY-LELAND |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 64 | 219 | 16 | 72 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 58 | 222 | 10 | 76 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| HAYES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 186 | 208 | 25 | 70 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 166 | 213 | 20 | 75 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | 14 | 189 | 57 | 43 | 0 |
| Special Education | 20 | 169 | 65 | 35 | 0 |
| HOLLYDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 137 | 206 | 31 | 65 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 120 | 210 | 24 | 72 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 17 | 198 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| Special Education | 17 | 177 | 82 | 18 | 0 |
| KEHELEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 83 | 223 | 14 | 72 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 66 | 227 | 6 | 79 | 15 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 17 | 205 | 47 | 47 | 6 |
| KEMP |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 154 | 230 | 6 | 69 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 131 | 236 | 2 | 70 | 27 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | 23 | 197 | 30 | 65 | 4 |
| KENNESAW CHARTER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 46 | 230 | 2 | 78 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 44 | 231 | 0 | 80 | 20 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| KINCAID |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 86 | 226 | 10 | 73 | 16 |
| Regular Program Students | 71 | 228 | 7 | 76 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 214 | 27 | 60 | 13 |
| KING SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 86 | 223 | 15 | 66 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 78 | 226 | 13 | 67 | 21 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LABELLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 69 | 198 | 48 | 52 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 57 | 201 | 44 | 56 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 14 | 182 | 71 | 29 | 0 |
| Special Education | 12 | 183 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| LEWIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 183 | 224 | 10 | 75 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 165 | 226 | 8 | 76 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 207 | 33 | 61 | 6 |
| MABLETON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 60 | 212 | 32 | 55 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 46 | 220 | 22 | 61 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | 14 | 186 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| MABLETON IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 63 | 214 | 19 | 78 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 56 | 216 | 14 | 82 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| MILFORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 100 | 209 | 28 | 66 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 87 | 212 | 22 | 71 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 16 | 190 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 182 | 69 | 31 | 0 |
| MOUNT BETHEL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 158 | 249 | 3 | 56 | 41 |
| Regular Program Students | 137 | 253 | 1 | 55 | 43 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 228 | 14 | 62 | 24 |
| MOUNTAIN VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 131 | 233 | 8 | 69 | 23 |
| Regular Program Students | 111 | 238 | 5 | 69 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 20 | 201 | 30 | 65 | 5 |
| MURDOCK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 127 | 230 | 10 | 65 | 25 |
| Regular Program Students | 103 | 235 | 7 | 64 | 29 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | 24 | 211 | 25 | 67 | 8 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NICHOLSON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 83 | 232 | 19 | 54 | 27 |
| Regular Program Students | 68 | 238 | 13 | 56 | 31 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 201 | 47 | 47 | 7 |
| NICKAJACK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 104 | 213 | 19 | 76 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 217 | 15 | 80 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| NORTON PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 98 | 201 | 34 | 63 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 87 | 205 | 29 | 68 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 22 | 192 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 167 | 73 | 27 | 0 |
| PITNER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 174 | 219 | 20 | 67 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 151 | 223 | 15 | 70 | 15 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 181 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| Special Education | 23 | 195 | 52 | 48 | 0 |
| POWDER SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 147 | 210 | 20 | 71 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 120 | 218 | 8 | 83 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 27 | 177 | 78 | 19 | 4 |
| POWERS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 71 | 205 | 34 | 62 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 58 | 207 | 33 | 62 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 197 | 38 | 62 | 0 |
| RIVERSIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 183 | 198 | 42 | 57 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 162 | 202 | 36 | 63 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | 31 | 179 | 71 | 29 | 0 |
| Special Education | 21 | 170 | 86 | 14 | 0 |
| ROCKY MOUNT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 101 | 229 | 9 | 71 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 82 | 233 | 6 | 71 | 23 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 210 | 21 | 74 | 5 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RUSSELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 93 | 207 | 27 | 65 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 209 | 25 | 65 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 10 | 191 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| SANDERS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 128 | 206 | 26 | 70 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 110 | 211 | 20 | 75 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 179 | 61 | 39 | 0 |
| SEDALIA PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 101 | 219 | 18 | 70 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 91 | 223 | 11 | 76 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 10 | 184 | 80 | 20 | 0 |
| SHALLOWFORD FALLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 112 | 236 | 10 | 59 | 31 |
| Regular Program Students | 90 | 241 | 6 | 59 | 36 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 22 | 214 | 27 | 59 | 14 |
| SKY VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 57 | 212 | 21 | 74 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 49 | 217 | 14 | 80 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| SMYRNA IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 47 | 213 | 21 | 79 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 42 | 215 | 17 | 83 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| SOPE CREEK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 187 | 240 | 7 | 64 | 28 |
| Regular Program Students | 153 | 246 | 4 | 63 | 33 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 34 | 213 | 24 | 71 | 6 |
| STILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 127 | 219 | 12 | 79 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 107 | 22 | 7 | 83 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | 20 | 205 | 35 | 55 | 10 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2008
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEASLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 66 | 221 | 14 | 71 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 59 | 225 | 12 | 73 | 15 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| TIMBER RIDGE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 69 | 247 | 9 | 55 | 36 |
| Regular Program Students | 55 | 249 | 5 | 56 | 38 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | 14 | 238 | 21 | 50 | 29 |
| TRITT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 149 | 251 | 1 | 57 | 42 |
| Regular Program Students | 124 | 255 | 1 | 52 | 47 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 25 | 234 | 0 | 80 | 20 |
| VARNER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 136 | 213 | 25 | 68 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 123 | 215 | 21 | 72 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 192 | 62 | 38 | 0 |
| VAUGHN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 191 | 218 | 12 | 77 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 169 | 221 | 8 | 80 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 22 | 198 | 45 | 55 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

# COBB COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TESTING BRIEF 

Fifth Grade Writing Assessment<br>Spring 2008

Georgia law (O.C.G.A. §20-2-281) states "writing assessments shall be administered to students in grades three, five, eight, and eleven." The purpose of the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is to assess student achievement in the Georgia Performance Standards in writing and to improve writing and writing instruction. The Grade 5 Writing Assessment provides predictive information to fifth graders about their future writing performance in advance of taking the Grade 8 Writing Assessment.

The percentage of Cobb County School District students achieving the Meets and Exceeds standards in 2008 ranged from 48 percent for English Language Learners (ELL) to 92 percent for Asian students. The mean scaled scores for Cobb student ranged from a 189 for ELL students to 236 for Asian students.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350. Writing scores are also reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

## Key Findings

o A total of 7779 Cobb students were assessed in the 2008 Grade 5 Writing Assessment. Eighty-one (81) percent of all students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of $\mathbf{2 2 0}$. This group includes all students with scorable papers.
o A total of 1081 Special Education students were assessed in writing. Fifty-two (52) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 195.
o A total of 376 English Language Learner (ELL) students were assessed in writing. Fortyeight (48) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 189.

## Key Findings (Continued)

Table 1
Comparison of 2007 and 2008 Percentages of $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students Meeting and Exceeding Writing Standards

| Cobb Student <br> Groups | Percentage of Students by Performance Level |  |  | Differences from 2007 <br> to 2008 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meets | Exceeds | Meets | Exceeds | Meets | Exceeds |
| All Students | 66 | 12 | 66 | 15 | 0 | +3 |
| Regular Program | 70 | 13 | 69 | 16 | -1 | +3 |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 42 | 3 | 47 | 5 | +5 | +2 |
| English Language <br> Learners | 39 | 0 | 47 | 1 | +8 | +1 |
| Males | 63 | 8 | 65 | 11 | +2 | +3 |
| Females | 69 | 16 | 68 | 18 | -1 | +2 |
| Asian | 69 | 20 | 65 | 27 | -4 | +7 |
| Black | 65 | 5 | 66 | 7 | +1 | +2 |
| Hispanic | 58 | 5 | 63 | 6 | +5 | +1 |
| White | 68 | 18 | 67 | 22 | -1 | +4 |
| Multi-Ethnic | 68 | 9 | 73 | 12 | +5 | +3 |

Table 2
Comparison of Mean Scale Scores for $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Writing Assessment

| Cobb Student Group | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | Difference from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ to 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 216 | 220 | +4 |
| Regular Program | 220 | 223 | +3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 190 | 206 | +16 |
| English Language Learners | 187 | 189 | +2 |
| Males | 209 | 214 | +5 |
| Females | 223 | 225 | +2 |
| Asian | 229 | 236 | +7 |
| Black | 207 | 210 | +3 |
| Hispanic | 203 | 206 | +3 |
| White | 225 | 229 | +4 |
| Multi-Ethnic | 214 | 221 | +7 |

o Thirty-seven (37) of Cobb's elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the state mean scale score.
o Thirty-three (33) of Cobb's elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the mean scale score for Metro RESA.
o Twenty-nine (29) of Cobb's elementary schools had higher mean scale scores equal to or greater than the mean scale score for Cobb.
o Forty-two (42) of Cobb's elementary schools had percentages higher than or equal to that of the state for students meeting or exceeding standards.
o Thirty-five (35) of Cobb's elementary schools had percentages higher than or equal to that of the district for students meeting or exceeding standards.
o There were ten (10) schools increasing the overall percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards by 10 points or more.

| Acworth | Argyle | Blackwell | Bryant | Clarkdale |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clay | Kennesaw Charter | Mountain View | Norton Park | Skyview |

o Fourteen schools increased their scale scores by 10 points or more:

| Argyle | Blackwell | Bryant | Clay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eastside | Eastvalley | Harmony Leland | Kennesaw Charter |
| King Springs | Mountain View | Nicholson | Skyview |
| Timber Ridge | Tritt |  |  |

