BOARD OF EDUCATION
John Abraham
David Banks
Alison Bartlett
Holli Cash
John Crooks
Lynnda Crowder-Eagle
For Immediate Release
For more information contact:

March 25, 2010
Jay Dillon (770) 426-3345

David Morgan
SUPERINTENDENT
Fred Sanderson

# Cobb Eighth Grade Writing Test Scores Continue To Top State, Metro Averages 

## Key Subgroups Continue to Show Improvement

Eighty-five percent of Cobb County eighth graders met or exceeded state standards on the 2010 Eighth Grade Writing Assessment, increasing the scale score by two points over last year's average. The district-wide average score of 219 is six points higher than the state average score and two points higher than the average of other metro Atlanta districts. The percentage of Cobb students ranked in either the "Meets" or "Exceeds" categories is six points higher than the statewide percentage and two points higher than other metro districts. (See Table I).

Two key student subgroups, Students with Disabilities (SWD) and English Language Learners (ELL), continued to improve their performance on the writing test this year. Scores for SWD have climbed 11 points since 2007, while the percentage of SWD meeting or exceeding standards has increased by 20 percentage points over the same period. The performance of English Language Learners has also improved, with scale scores increasing by 14 points since 2007. While the percentage of ELLs meeting or exceeding standards decreased by one percent from 2009, the percentage has increased from 30 percent to 50 percent in just four years (Charts I, II).

The progress made on Cobb's Eighth Grade Writing Assessment can be partially attributed to a continuous improvement approach taken by the District and schools to identify and address the needs of these students. Middle schools have given mock writing tests to students in sixth and seventh grades, providing early feedback to students and teachers about how Cobb students' writing skills compare to state standards. The mock tests also allow teachers time to help students strengthen writing skills before they reach eighth grade.

Barry Harris, principal at Garrett Middle School, attributes his school's success in raising writing performance to having students write on different topics in all content areas twice a month. In addition, the school conducted a Parent Write With Me Night to engage parents in helping their children improve writing skills. At Pine Mountain Middle School, where scores have steadily increased over the past four years, teachers are collaboratively reading student writing three times a year and giving students immediate feedback about their strengths and areas for growth. According to Lisa Jackson, principal at Pine Mountain, staff development for
writing is ongoing for school staff members and several of the teachers have worked with Kennesaw State University in a National Writing Project to find better ways to teach writing to students.

A majority of Cobb schools topped the state scale scores, with scores ranging from 170 to 238 . Highest scores were for Dodgen (238), Dickerson (237), Hightower Trail and Mabry (234). At several schools more than 90 percent of students met or exceeded standards (Daniell, Dickerson, Dodgen, Hightower Trail, Imagine International Academy of Smyrna, Lost Mountain, Mabry, and Simpson).

Cobb's overall scores were strong, but District academic officials remain concerned about the continued gap between the performance of regular education students and students in special populations (Special Education and English Language Learners). This same gap is apparent on other assessments at both the state and district levels. Although both the Special Education and ELL subgroups made significant gains on scale scores this year, the percentage of students meeting and exceeding standards in both groups remains significantly smaller than that of students in the regular education program (Table II).

As a part of the statewide testing program, the Eighth Grade Writing Assessment was administered in January and requires students to write a composition on an assigned topic. The assessment provides eighth graders with a measurement of their writing performance. This information can help students, parents, and teachers understand areas where students may need to focus their efforts to improve writing skills before taking the writing portion of the Georgia High School Graduation Test in their junior year.

Each student paper is scored by professionals who have been trained to evaluate writing. Evaluators score student compositions on four domains, or qualities of effective writing, including ideas, organization, style, and convention. Domains are rated on a scale of 1 to 5 . The performance of Cobb students was similar across all domains, ranging from 3.1 in organization, ideas, and conventions to 3.2 in style. The scale score range for the new Grade 8 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 . Writing scores are reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

More information about the Cobb County School District's testing programs is available on the District Web site at www.cobbk12.org.

## COBB COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

TESTING BRIEF<br>Eighth Grade Writing Assessment<br>Winter 2010

Georgia law (O.C.G.A. §20-2-281) states "writing assessments shall be administered to students in grades three, five, eight, and eleven." The purpose of the Grade 8 Writing Assessment is to assess student achievement in the Georgia Performance Standards in writing and to improve writing and writing instruction. The Grade 8 Writing Assessment provides predictive information to eighth graders about their future writing performance in advance of taking the Georgia High School Writing Test (GHSWT).

The percentage of Cobb County School District students achieving the Meets and Exceeds standards in 2010 ranged from 50 percent for English Language Learners (ELL) to 90 percent for Asian students. The mean scaled scores for Cobb students ranged from 195 for ELL students to 232 for Asian students.

The scale score range for the Grade 8 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 . Writing scores are also reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

## Key Findings

O A total of 7733 Cobb students were assessed in the 2010 Grade 8 Writing Assessment. Eighty-five (85) percent of all students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 219. This group includes all students with scorable papers. This represents a $2 \%$ increase from last year in the number of students meeting/exceeding standards and a two point increase in the average scale scores for the district.

O A total of 865 Special Education students were assessed in writing. Sixty (60) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 200. There was a $5 \%$ increase in the percent of students meeting/exceeding standards from the previous year and a three point increase in the average scale score for the group.
o A total of 275 English Language Learner (ELL) students were assessed in writing. Fifty (50) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 195. There was a 2 point increase in the scale score (from 193 to 195) and a slight decrease (1\%) of ELL students meeting/exceeding standards.

## Key Findings (Continued)

0 Six Cobb Schools had increases of 5\% or greater in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards from 2009 to 2010.
Awtrey (7\%)
Devereux (22\%)
Griffin (11\%)
Lindley (7\%)
Pine Mtn. (5\%)
Imagine Inter. Smyrna (49\%)

0 Twelve Cobb Schools had increases between 1 and 4\% in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards from 2009 to 2010.

| Campbell (4\%) | Daniell (1\%) | Dodgen (3\%) | Durham (1\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East Cobb (2\%) | Garrett (3\%) | Lovinggood (4\%) | Mabry (2\%) |
| Palmer (2\%) | Smitha (4\%) | Tapp (2\%) | Imagine Inter. Mableton (1\%) |

0 Three Cobb middle schools remained the same in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards as in the previous year.
Dickerson
Hightower Trail
Simpson

0 All other middle schools showed decreases of 1\% to 7\% in the number of students meeting or exceeding standard from 2009-2010.
o Sixteen (16) of Cobb's middle schools had overall mean scale scores higher than the state mean scale score of 213.

| Barber | Durham | Lovinggood | Palmer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Daniell | East Cobb | Mabry | Pine Mountain |
| Dickerson | Hightower Trail | McCleskey | Simpson |
| Dodgen | Lost Mountain | McClure | Tapp |

Fourteen (14) of Cobb's middle schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the mean scale score of 217 for Metro RESA.

| Barber | Durham | Mabry | Pine Mountain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Daniell | Hightower Trail | McCleskey | Simpson |
| Dickerson | Lost Mountain | McClure |  |
| Dodgen | Lovinggood | Palmer |  |

o Twelve (12) of Cobb's middle schools had mean scale scores equal to or higher than the mean scale score of 219 for Cobb.

| Daniell | Hightower Trail | McCleskey | Simpson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dickerson | Lost Mountain | McClure |  |
| Dodgen | Lovinggood | Pine Mountain |  |
| Durham | Mabry |  |  |

0 Eighteen (18) of Cobb's middle schools had higher percentages then did the state for students meeting or exceeding standards (79\%).

| Barber | Durham | Imagine Int. Smyrna | McCleskey | Simpson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Daniell | East Cobb | Lost Mountain | McClure | Tapp |
| Dickerson | Garrett | Lovinggood | Palmer |  |
| Dodgen | Hightower Trail | Mabry | Pine Mountain |  |

0 Thirteen (13) of Cobb's middle schools had higher percentages of students meeting or exceeding standards when compared to the overall percentages for Cobb (85\%).

| Daniell | Hightower Trail | Mabry | Simpson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dickerson | Imagine Int. Smyrna | McClure |  |
| Dodgen | Lost Mountain | Palmer |  |
| Durham | Lovinggood | Pine Mountain | 2 |

Cobb County School District

|  | \# Tested | Mean Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |
| Cobb | 7,733 | 219 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 10.0 | 85.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Metro Resa* | 44,087 | 217 | 17.0 | 74.0 | 9.0 | 83.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Georgia | 119,816 | 213 | 21.0 | 73.0 | 6.0 | 79.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Awtrey | 294 | 210 | 21.0 | 77.0 | 2.0 | 79.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Barber | 304 | 217 | 15.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 85.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Campbell Middle | 364 | 203 | 34.0 | 65.0 | 1.0 | 66.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Cooper | 296 | 207 | 32.0 | 65.0 | 2.0 | 67.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Daniell | 299 | 222 | 8.0 | 83.0 | 9.0 | 92.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Devereux Academy | 13 | 170 | 69.0 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Dickerson | 402 | 237 | 2.0 | 74.0 | 24.0 | 98.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Dodgen | 368 | 238 | 2.0 | 74.0 | 24.0 | 98.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Durham | 361 | 220 | 14.0 | 77.0 | 9.0 | 86.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| East Cobb | 383 | 214 | 16.0 | 79.0 | 5.0 | 84.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Floyd | 248 | 207 | 32.0 | 66.0 | 2.0 | 68.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Garrett | 258 | 213 | 18.0 | 78.0 | 4.0 | 82.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Griffin | 274 | 208 | 25.0 | 72.0 | 3.0 | 75.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Hightower Trail | 325 | 234 | 3.0 | 77.0 | 20.0 | 97.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Imagine Intl Acad of Mableton | 45 | 212 | 22.0 | 76.0 | 2.0 | 78.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Imagine Intl Acad of Smyrna | 30 | 210 | 7.0 | 90.0 | 3.0 | 93.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lindley | 387 | 207 | 26.0 | 73.0 | 1.0 | 74.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Lost Mountain | 344 | 224 | 9.0 | 76.0 | 15.0 | 91.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Lovinggood | 420 | 221 | 12.0 | 78.0 | 11.0 | 89.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Mabry | 297 | 234 | 3.0 | 75.0 | 22.0 | 97.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| McCleskey | 256 | 219 | 16.0 | 74.0 | 10.0 | 84.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| McClure | 350 | 223 | 13.0 | 75.0 | 12.0 | 87.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Palmer | 353 | 218 | 14.0 | 78.0 | 8.0 | 86.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Pine Mountain | 245 | 219 | 11.0 | 82.0 | 7.0 | 89.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Simpson | 307 | 232 | 5.0 | 75.0 | 20.0 | 95.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Smitha | 248 | 205 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Tapp | 262 | 215 | 15.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 85.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 |

*RESA: Atlanta, Buford, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett and Rockdale Counties, Decatur, and Marietta City systems
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards (Score of 100-199)
M = Met Standards (Score of 200-249)
E = Exceeded Standards (Score of 250-350)
\% M+E = Percentage of students meeting and exceeding standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Eighth Grade Writing Assesment: 2010
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEORGIA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 119,816 | 213 | 21 | 73 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 107,662 | 216 | 17 | 76 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 2,297 | 196 | 51 | 49 | 0 |
| Special Education | 12,154 | 188 | 57 | 42 | 1 |
| COBB COUNTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 7733 | 219 | 15 | 75 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 6868 | 221 | 12 | 77 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | 275 | 195 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Special Education | 865 | 200 | 40 | 58 | 2 |
| AWTREY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 294 | 210 | 21 | 77 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 269 | 212 | 18 | 80 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 25 | 190 | 56 | 44 | 0 |
| BARBER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 304 | 217 | 15 | 80 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 267 | 220 | 11 | 83 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 37 | 197 | 43 | 57 | 0 |
| CAMPBELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 364 | 203 | 34 | 65 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 334 | 205 | 31 | 68 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | 33 | 189 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| Special Education | 30 | 184 | 73 | 27 | 0 |
| COOPER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 296 | 207 | 32 | 65 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 253 | 211 | 25 | 72 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 43 | 190 | 74 | 26 | 0 |
| DANIELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 299 | 222 | 8 | 83 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 261 | 225 | 5 | 85 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 38 | 199 | 26 | 71 | 3 |
| DEVEREUX ACADEMY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 13 | 170 | 69 | 31 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Eighth Grade Writing Assesment: 2010
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DICKERSON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 402 | 237 | 2 | 74 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 358 | 239 | 1 | 73 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 44 | 224 | 7 | 84 | 9 |
| DODGEN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 368 | 238 | 2 | 74 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 328 | 240 | 1 | 72 | 27 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 40 | 218 | 10 | 88 | 3 |
| DURHAM |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 361 | 220 | 14 | 77 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 326 | 222 | 10 | 80 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 35 | 206 | 51 | 46 | 3 |
| EAST COBB |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 383 | 214 | 16 | 79 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 352 | 215 | 14 | 81 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | 20 | 203 | 30 | 70 | 0 |
| Special Education | 31 | 196 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| FLOYD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 248 | 207 | 32 | 66 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 217 | 209 | 28 | 69 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 17 | 195 | 65 | 35 | 0 |
| Special Education | 31 | 196 | 58 | 42 | 0 |
| GARRETT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 258 | 213 | 18 | 78 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 237 | 214 | 16 | 79 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 203 | 33 | 67 | 0 |
| GRIFFIN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 274 | 208 | 25 | 72 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 248 | 210 | 22 | 75 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 43 | 188 | 56 | 44 | 0 |
| Special Education | 26 | 183 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| HIGHTOWER TRAIL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 325 | 234 | 3 | 77 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 276 | 238 | 1 | 75 | 24 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 49 | 217 | 10 | 88 | 2 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Eighth Grade Writing Assesment: 2010
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMAGINE INTL ACAD OF MABLETON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 45 | 212 | 22 | 76 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 41 | 214 | 17 | 80 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| IMAGINE INTL ACAD OF SMYRNA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 30 | 210 | 7 | 90 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 28 | 218 | 0 | 96 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| LINDLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 387 | 207 | 26 | 73 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 358 | 210 | 22 | 77 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | 42 | 198 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| Special Education | 35 | 183 | 66 | 34 | 0 |
| LOST MOUNTAIN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 344 | 224 | 9 | 76 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 309 | 228 | 4 | 80 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 35 | 193 | 54 | 40 | 6 |
| LOVINGGOOD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 420 | 221 | 12 | 78 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 379 | 223 | 9 | 79 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 41 | 202 | 32 | 68 | 0 |
| MABRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 299 | 234 | 3 | 75 | 22 |
| Regular Program Students | 265 | 238 | 1 | 75 | 24 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 32 | 203 | 22 | 78 | 0 |
| McCLESKEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 256 | 219 | 16 | 74 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 213 | 223 | 13 | 76 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 43 | 204 | 33 | 67 | 0 |
| MCCLURE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 350 | 223 | 13 | 75 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 313 | 226 | 9 | 78 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 37 | 198 | 49 | 51 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Eighth Grade Writing Assesment: 2010
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

|  | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PALMER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 353 | 218 | 14 | 78 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 318 | 220 | 12 | 79 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | 17 | 198 | 29 | 71 | 0 |
| Special Education | 35 | 205 | 29 | 70 | 0 |
| PINE MOUNTAIN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 245 | 219 | 11 | 82 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 217 | 221 | 9 | 83 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 28 | 199 | 29 | 68 | 4 |
| SIMPSON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 307 | 232 | 5 | 75 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 257 | 235 | 2 | 76 | 22 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 50 | 214 | 20 | 72 | 8 |
| SMITHA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 248 | 205 | 28 | 72 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 216 | 209 | 20 | 80 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 29 | 194 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Special Education | 32 | 178 | 81 | 19 | 1 |
| TAPP |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 262 | 215 | 15 | 80 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 230 | 217 | 11 | 84 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 32 | 199 | 47 | 47 | 6 |
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