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## Cobb Fifth Graders Top State on Writing Test

Recently released results from the 2011 Georgia Fifth Grade Writing Assessment show Cobb students outperformed their peers across the state in writing skills. The average scale score for district students was 218, four points higher than the state score of 214. Eighty-three percent of Cobb students met or exceeded expectations on the assessment.

Fifty-seven percent of Cobb students with disabilities met or exceeded expectations, compared to forty-four percent across the state. Sixty-three percent of English Language Learners (ELL) in Cobb met expectations, which was two percent higher than that of the state of sixty-one percent.

Thirty-four Cobb elementary schools had a mean scale scores higher than the state average (214), and thirty schools had scale scores above the district average of 218. A total of thirty-four schools saw an increase in their scale scores from last year. Garrison Mill, Due West, Sky View, Kennesaw Charter, Fair Oaks and Norton Park posted strong gains in their scale scores. Schools with the greatest increase in students meeting or exceeding expectations (a scale score of 200 or better) are Sky View, Clarkdale, Kennesaw Charter and Fair Oaks.

Principal Paula Huffman at Garrison Mill attributed her growth in writing scores to helping teachers understand how the state scores the essays written by students. Once teachers understood that process, they were able to help students understand the importance of writing to the topic, a critical component in the writing process. At Due West Elementary, principal Peggy Fleming noted that students had an opportunity to participate in a mock writing assessment in January with results being used by teachers to conference individually with each student and target areas for additional instruction before the state writing assessment in March.

Overall, the highest scale scores were posted by Tritt (246), Sope Creek (242), Garrison Mill (241), Timber Ridge (236), East Side (234), and Murdock (233). Schools with the highest percent of students meeting or exceeding expectations were Tritt (99\%), Sope Creek (99\%), East Side (98\%), Kemp (98\%) and Due West (98\%).

As a part of the statewide testing program, the Fifth Grade Writing Assessment was administered in March and requires students to write a composition on an assigned topic. The assessment provides fifth graders with a measurement of their writing performance. The assessment includes different aspects of writing or

[^0]domains, which include Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions. Domains are rated on a scale of 1 to 5 . Performance of Cobb fifth graders as a whole was similar across all domains, ranging from 2.9 in Conventions to 3.1 in Style. This information can help students, parents, and teachers understand areas where students may need to focus their efforts to improve writing skills before taking the Eighth Grade Writing Assessment.

Each student paper is scored by professionals who have been trained to evaluate writing. Evaluators score student compositions on four qualities of effective writing. These qualities, or domains (Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions), of effective writing should be present in a composition regardless of the topic.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 , and scores are reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

Summary data for the Fifth Grade Writing Assessment by school may be found in Tables I and II. More information about the Cobb County School District is available on the District Web site at www.cobbk12.org.
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Fifth Grade Writing Assessment
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Georgia law (O.C.G.A. §20-2-281) states "writing assessments shall be administered to students in grades three, five, eight, and eleven." The purpose of the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is to assess student achievement in the Georgia Performance Standards in writing and writing instruction. The Grade 5 Writing Assessment provides predictive information to fifth graders about their future writing performance in advance of taking the Grade 8 Writing Assessment.

The percentage of Cobb County School District students achieving the Meets and Exceeds standards in 2011 ranged from 57 percent for Students with Disabilities (SWD) to 94 percent for Asian students. The mean scaled scores for Cobb students ranged from a 194 for SWD students to 232 for Asian students.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350. Writing scores are also reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

## Key Findings

o A total of 8164 Cobb students were assessed in the 2011 Grade 5 Writing Assessment. Eighty-three (83) percent of all students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of $\mathbf{2 1 8}$. This group includes all students with scorable papers.
o A total of $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 2}$ Special Education students were assessed in writing. Fifty-seven (57) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 194.
o A total of 564 English Language Learner (ELL) students were assessed in writing. Sixtythree (63) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 198.

## Key Findings (Continued)

## Table 1

Comparison of 2010 and 2011 Percentages of $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students Meeting and Exceeding Writing Standards:

| Cobb <br> Student <br> Groups | Percentage of Students by Performance Level |  |  |  |  |  | Differences from 2010 to 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds |
| All <br> Students | 22 | 68 | 10 | 17 | 71 | 12 | -5 | 3 | 2 |
| Regular Program | 18 | 72 | 10 | 13 | 73 | 14 | -5 | 1 | 4 |
| Students <br> with <br> Disabilities | 48 | 48 | 4 | 43 | 53 | 4 | -5 | 5 | 0 |
| English <br> Language <br> Learners | 50 | 50 | 0 | 38 | 62 | 1 | -12 | 12 | 1 |
| Females | 15 | 73 | 12 | 11 | 73 | 16 | -4 | 0 | 4 |
| Males | 28 | 64 | 8 | 23 | 69 | 9 | -5 | 5 | 1 |
| Asian | 8 | 70 | 22 | 6 | 70 | 24 | -2 | 0 | 2 |
| Black | 30 | 66 | 4 | 23 | 70 | 6 | -7 | 4 | 2 |
| Hispanic | 31 | 65 | 4 | 25 | 70 | 4 | -6 | 5 | 0 |
| White | 14 | 72 | 14 | 10 | 71 | 19 | -4 | -1 | 5 |

## Table 2

Comparison of Mean Scale Scores for $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Writing Assessment:

| Cobb Student Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 215 | 218 | 3 |
| Regular Program | 218 | 221 | 3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 195 | 194 | -1 |
| English Language Learners | 194 | 198 | 4 |
| Males | 220 | 212 | -8 |
| Females | 209 | 224 | 15 |
| Asian | 230 | 232 | 2 |
| Black | 207 | 210 | 3 |
| Hispanic | 205 | 207 | 2 |
| White | 222 | 226 | 4 |

o Sky View had the greatest gain in students meeting and exceeding expectations over last year, an increase of $25 \%$.
o Garrison Mill had an average scale score of 228 which was a 13\% increase over 2010.
o Five (5) schools increased the overall percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards by more than 10 percentage points.

| Sky View | Clarkdale Fair Oaks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Norton Park | Powers Ferry $\quad$ Due West |

o Three (3) schools increased their mean scale scores by 10 points or more:

> Garrison Mill Due West Sky View
o Thirty-four (34) of Cobb's elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the state mean scale score (214):

| Tritt | Davis | Still | King Springs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sope Creek | Mount Bethel | Mountain View | Cheatham Hill |
| Garrison Mill | Due West | Addison | Ford |
| Timber Ridge | Pickett's Mill | Chalker | Vaughan |
| East Side | Rocky Mount | Bullard | Teasley |
| Murdock | Nicholson | Kennesaw Charter | Harmony Leland |
| Kemp | Eastvalley | Sedalia Park | Mableton |
| Kincaid | Frey | Big Shanty | Smyrna Imagine Int |
| Shallowford Falls |  |  | Clarkdale |

o Thirty (30) of these elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the mean scale score for Metro RESA and the District average (218).

| Tritt | Shallowford Falls | Eastvalley | Kennesaw Charter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sope Creek | Davis | Frey | Sedalia Park |
| Garrison Mill | Mount Bethel | Still | Big Shanty |
| Timber Ridge | Due West | Mountain View | King Springs |
| East Side | Pickett's Mill | Addison | Cheatham Hill |
| Murdock | Rocky Mount | Chalker | Ford |
| Kemp | Nicholson | Bullard | Vaughan |
| Kincaid |  |  | Teasley |

o Forty-one (41) of Cobb's elementary schools had percentages higher than that of the state for students meeting or exceeding standards (79\%):

Tritt
Sope Creek
East Side
Kemp
Due West
Garrison Mill
Timber Ridge
Murdock
Kennesaw Charter
Kincaid
Pickett's Mill

Rocky Mount
Still
Sedalia Park
Mount Bethel
Addison
Davis
Mountain View
Chalker
Eastvalley
Bullard

Shallowford Falls Teasley
Frey Argyle
Harmony Leland King Springs
Big Shanty
Cheatham Hill
Clarkdale
Ford
Vaughan
Smyrna Imagine Int
Nicholson

Bells Ferry
Sky View
Mableton
Bryant
Keheley
Varner
Norton Park

0 Thirty-four (34) of of these elementary schools had percentages higher than that of the district for students meeting or exceeding standards (83\%).

| Tritt | Kincaid | Mountain View | Cheatham Hill |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sope Creek | Pickett's Mill | Chalker | Clarkdale |
| East Side | Rocky Mount | Eastvalley | Ford |
| Kemp | Still | Bullard | Vaughan |
| Due West | Sedalia Park | Shallowford Falls | Smyrna Imagine Int |
| Garrison Mill | Mount Bethel | Frey | Nicholson |
| Timber Ridge | Addison | Harmony Leland | Teasley |
| Murdock | Davis | Big Shanty | Argyle |
| Kennesaw Charter |  |  | King Springs |

Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011
All Students


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Tested | Mean <br> Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |
| Kincaid | 110 | 232 | 5 | 73 | 22 | 95 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| King Springs | 94 | 221 | 16 | 70 | 14 | 84 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| LaBelle | 70 | 202 | 29 | 69 | 3 | 72 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Lewis | 169 | 212 | 22 | 68 | 10 | 78 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Mableton | 80 | 217 | 19 | 71 | 10 | 81 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| Mableton Imagine Charter | 47 | 209 | 21 | 77 | 2 | 79 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Milford | 102 | 202 | 29 | 69 | 2 | 71 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Mount Bethel | 188 | 230 | 6 | 73 | 21 | 94 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Mountain View | 140 | 225 | 8 | 74 | 18 | 92 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Murdock | 146 | 233 | 4 | 70 | 26 | 96 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Nicholson | 96 | 227 | 16 | 65 | 20 | 85 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Nickajack | 132 | 210 | 26 | 66 | 8 | 74 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Norton Park | 117 | 208 | 21 | 74 | 6 | 80 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Pickett's Mill | 132 | 229 | 5 | 81 | 14 | 95 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Pitner | 162 | 213 | 23 | 69 | 8 | 77 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Powder Springs | 143 | 206 | 23 | 73 | 3 | 76 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Powers Ferry | 82 | 206 | 26 | 70 | 5 | 75 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Riverside | 206 | 204 | 35 | 61 | 4 | 65 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Rocky Mount | 121 | 227 | 6 | 78 | 17 | 95 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Russell | 113 | 208 | 25 | 70 | 5 | 75 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Sanders | 130 | 199 | 48 | 48 | 4 | 52 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| Sedalia Park | 110 | 222 | 5 | 81 | 14 | 95 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| Shallowford Falls | 129 | 232 | 10 | 68 | 22 | 90 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Sky View | 56 | 212 | 18 | 79 | 4 | 83 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Smyrna Imagine Int | 70 | 216 | 14 | 79 | 7 | 86 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Sope Creek | 174 | 242 | 1 | 70 | 29 | 99 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| Still | 119 | 225 | 5 | 77 | 18 | 95 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Teasley | 82 | 219 | 16 | 76 | 9 | 85 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Timber Ridge | 93 | 236 | 4 | 70 | 26 | 96 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Tritt | 146 | 246 | 1 | 61 | 38 | 99 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| Varner | 129 | 211 | 20 | 73 | 7 | 80 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Vaughan | 136 | 219 | 13 | 76 | 11 | 87 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |

*RESA: Atlanta, Buford, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett and Rockdale Counties, Decatur, and Marietta City systems

DNM = Did Not Meet Standards (Score of 100-199)
M = Met Standards (Score of 200-249)
**Scoring Information for Domains
E = Exceeded Standards (Score of 250-350) Range 1 (low) to 5 (high)
$\% \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{E}=$ Percentage of students meeting and exceeding standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEORGIA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 127,463 | 214 | 21 | 69 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | - | - | 17 | 72 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | - | - | 45 | 55 | 0 |
| Special Education | - | - | 56 | 42 | 1 |
| COBB COUNTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 8164 | 218 | 17 | 71 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 7092 | 221 | 13 | 73 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | 564 | 198 | 38 | 62 | 1 |
| Special Education | 1072 | 194 | 43 | 53 | 4 |
| ACWORTH |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 212 | 209 | 32 | 57 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 173 | 217 | 21 | 65 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 195 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| Special Education | 39 | 175 | 79 | 21 | 0 |
| ADDISON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 84 | 224 | 7 | 79 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 73 | 224 | 7 | 77 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 220 | 9 | 91 | 0 |
| ARGYLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 90 | 211 | 14 | 83 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 81 | 216 | 10 | 88 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| AUSTELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 135 | 209 | 21 | 72 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 119 | 211 | 20 | 72 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 195 | 31 | 69 | 0 |
| BAKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 111 | 210 | 26 | 66 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 216 | 21 | 70 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 170 | 60 | 40 | 0 |
| BELLS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 91 | 212 | 16 | 79 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 214 | 12 | 84 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BELMONT HILLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 81 | 198 | 38 | 62 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 73 | 200 | 36 | 64 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 20 | 188 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| BIG SHANTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 278 | 222 | 12 | 73 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 228 | 228 | 6 | 77 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | 10 | 204 | 40 | 50 | 10 |
| Special Education | 50 | 196 | 40 | 54 | 6 |
| BIRNEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 122 | 205 | 30 | 68 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 109 | 208 | 27 | 71 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 19 | 192 | 53 | 47 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 185 | 54 | 46 | 0 |
| BLACKWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 118 | 209 | 22 | 70 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 97 | 216 | 14 | 77 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 178 | 57 | 38 | 5 |
| BROWN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 36 | 203 | 42 | 50 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 27 | 209 | 30 | 59 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| BRUMBY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 155 | 205 | 28 | 68 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 144 | 209 | 23 | 72 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | 14 | 198 | 36 | 64 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 153 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
| BRYANT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 120 | 208 | 19 | 78 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 103 | 211 | 14 | 83 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 204 | 18 | 82 | 0 |
| Special Education | 17 | 186 | 53 | 47 | 0 |
| BULLARD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 187 | 224 | 10 | 74 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 177 | 225 | 10 | 73 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 10 | 219 | 10 | 80 | 10 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHALKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 135 | 224 | 8 | 79 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 122 | 227 | 6 | 82 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 202 | 31 | 54 | 15 |
| CHEATHAM HILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 175 | 220 | 12 | 73 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 151 | 226 | 5 | 78 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 212 | 18 | 82 | 0 |
| Special Education | 24 | 180 | 54 | 42 | 4 |
| CLARKDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 65 | 215 | 12 | 83 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 59 | 216 | 12 | 85 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| CLAY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 98 | 205 | 22 | 74 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 82 | 213 | 15 | 82 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 21 | 208 | 14 | 81 | 5 |
| Special Education | 16 | 164 | 63 | 38 | 0 |
| COMPTON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 81 | 192 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 64 | 198 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 17 | 171 | 65 | 35 | 0 |
| DAVIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 100 | 231 | 8 | 63 | 29 |
| Regular Program Students | 82 | 238 | 2 | 63 | 34 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 194 | 33 | 61 | 6 |
| DOWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 174 | 207 | 24 | 73 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 147 | 211 | 18 | 78 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 193 | 33 | 67 | 0 |
| Special Education | 27 | 185 | 52 | 48 | 0 |
| DUE WEST |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 93 | 229 | 2 | 75 | 23 |
| Regular Program Students | 80 | 232 | 0 | 74 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 213 | 15 | 85 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EAST SIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 170 | 234 | 2 | 70 | 28 |
| Regular Program Students | 148 | 237 | 1 | 68 | 31 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 22 | 216 | 9 | 86 | 5 |
| EASTVALLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 96 | 226 | 9 | 75 | 16 |
| Regular Program Students | 87 | 227 | 7 | 77 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 205 | 18 | 82 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| FAIR OAKS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 110 | 201 | 32 | 65 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 98 | 206 | 27 | 70 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 33 | 196 | 39 | 61 | 0 |
| Special Education | 12 | 158 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| FORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 168 | 219 | 13 | 72 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 144 | 222 | 11 | 72 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 206 | 25 | 71 | 4 |
| FREY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 115 | 226 | 11 | 69 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 102 | 229 | 8 | 72 | 21 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 204 | 38 | 46 | 15 |
| GARRISON MILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 112 | 241 | 4 | 67 | 29 |
| Regular Program Students | 98 | 245 | 1 | 67 | 32 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 214 | 21 | 64 | 14 |
| GREEN ACRES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 107 | 208 | 26 | 68 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 91 | 212 | 19 | 75 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 38 | 200 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| Special Education | 16 | 181 | 69 | 31 | 0 |
| HARMONY-LELAND |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 81 | 217 | 11 | 80 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 70 | 220 | 9 | 81 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 196 | 27 | 73 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAYES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 182 | 211 | 23 | 71 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 162 | 213 | 18 | 75 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 21 | 195 | 52 | 48 | 0 |
| Special Education | 20 | 192 | 65 | 35 | 0 |
| HOLLYDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 148 | 205 | 27 | 71 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 125 | 210 | 18 | 79 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | 23 | 200 | 39 | 61 | 0 |
| Special Education | 23 | 177 | 74 | 26 | 0 |
| KEHELEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 81 | 211 | 20 | 68 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 62 | 221 | 3 | 82 | 15 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 180 | 74 | 21 | 5 |
| KEMP |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 172 | 232 | 3 | 76 | 22 |
| Regular Program Students | 149 | 234 | 3 | 73 | 24 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 23 | 219 | 4 | 91 | 4 |
| KENNESAW CHARTER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 55 | 222 | 4 | 85 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 53 | 222 | 4 | 85 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| KINCAID |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 110 | 232 | 5 | 73 | 22 |
| Regular Program Students | 92 | 233 | 2 | 75 | 23 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 226 | 22 | 61 | 17 |
| KING SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 94 | 221 | 16 | 70 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 88 | 224 | 13 | 73 | 15 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| LABELLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 70 | 202 | 29 | 69 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 58 | 210 | 19 | 78 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 24 | 198 | 38 | 63 | 0 |
| Special Education | 12 | 167 | 75 | 25 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEWIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 169 | 212 | 22 | 68 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 149 | 216 | 17 | 71 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 20 | 180 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| MABLETON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 80 | 217 | 19 | 71 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 68 | 218 | 15 | 75 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 12 | 210 | 42 | 50 | 8 |
| MABLETON IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 47 | 209 | 21 | 77 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 45 | 209 | 22 | 76 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| MILFORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 102 | 202 | 29 | 69 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 89 | 208 | 25 | 73 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | 28 | 185 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 166 | 62 | 38 | 0 |
| MOUNT BETHEL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 188 | 230 | 6 | 73 | 21 |
| Regular Program Students | 158 | 234 | 4 | 72 | 25 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 30 | 210 | 20 | 80 | 0 |
| MOUNTAIN VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 140 | 225 | 8 | 74 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 111 | 227 | 7 | 73 | 20 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 29 | 220 | 10 | 79 | 10 |
| MURDOCK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 146 | 233 | 4 | 70 | 26 |
| Regular Program Students | 130 | 237 | 2 | 69 | 29 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 202 | 25 | 75 | 0 |
| NICHOLSON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 96 | 227 | 16 | 65 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 78 | 232 | 13 | 64 | 23 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 207 | 28 | 67 | 6 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NICKAJACK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 132 | 210 | 26 | 66 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 118 | 217 | 19 | 71 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 156 | 79 | 21 | 0 |
| NORTON PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 117 | 208 | 21 | 74 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 103 | 211 | 17 | 77 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 27 | 202 | 30 | 70 | 0 |
| Special Education | 14 | 189 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| PICKETT'S MILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 132 | 229 | 5 | 81 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 117 | 233 | 2 | 82 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 202 | 27 | 73 | 0 |
| PITNER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 162 | 213 | 23 | 69 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 142 | 215 | 20 | 70 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 20 | 201 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| POWDER SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 143 | 206 | 23 | 73 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 119 | 209 | 17 | 79 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 192 | 54 | 46 | 0 |
| POWERS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 82 | 206 | 26 | 70 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 77 | 209 | 22 | 73 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | 17 | 201 | 29 | 71 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| RIVERSIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 206 | 204 | 35 | 61 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 189 | 208 | 31 | 65 | , |
| Limited English Proficient | 38 | 183 | 68 | 32 | 0 |
| Special Education | 17 | 169 | 76 | 24 | 0 |
| ROCKY MOUNT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 121 | 227 | 6 | 78 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 108 | 228 | 5 | 80 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 220 | 15 | 62 | 23 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RUSSELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 113 | 208 | 25 | 70 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 92 | 215 | 16 | 77 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 178 | 62 | 38 | 0 |
| SANDERS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 130 | 199 | 48 | 48 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 116 | 201 | 48 | 47 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 181 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| SEDALIA PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 110 | 222 | 5 | 81 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 99 | 224 | 3 | 83 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | 15 | 207 | 7 | 93 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 205 | 27 | 64 | 9 |
| SHALLOWFORD FALLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 129 | 232 | 10 | 68 | 22 |
| Regular Program Students | 107 | 238 | 6 | 68 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 22 | 203 | 32 | 68 | 0 |
| SKY VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 56 | 212 | 18 | 79 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 48 | 215 | 17 | 79 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 10 | 192 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| SMYRNA IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 70 | 216 | 14 | 79 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 64 | 219 | 9 | 83 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| SOPE CREEK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 174 | 242 | 1 | 70 | 29 |
| Regular Program Students | 153 | 244 | 1 | 69 | 31 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 230 | 5 | 76 | 19 |
| STILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 119 | 225 |  | 77 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 105 | 226 | 5 | 77 | 18 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 215 | 7 | 79 | 14 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2011 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2011 | \# Tested | Average Scale <br> Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEASLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 82 | 219 | 16 | 76 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 77 | 218 | 14 | 78 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| TIMBER RIDGE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 93 | 236 | 4 | 70 | 26 |
| Regular Program Students | 78 | 238 | 4 | 69 | 27 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 230 | 7 | 73 | 20 |
| TRITT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 146 | 246 | 1 | 61 | 38 |
| Regular Program Students | 123 | 251 | 0 | 56 | 44 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 23 | 221 | 9 | 87 | 4 |
| VARNER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 129 | 211 | 20 | 73 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 107 | 216 | 11 | 80 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 22 | 185 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| VAUGHN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 136 | 219 | 13 | 76 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 125 | 221 | 10 | 78 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 199 | 45 | 55 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards
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