## Cobb Fifth Graders Top State on Writing Test

Results from the 2012 Georgia Fifth Grade Writing Assessment show Cobb County students continue to outperform their peers across the state in writing skills. Cobb students' average scale score of 217 is four points higher than the state score of 213 ; both the local and state averages dropped by a single point from 2011. Eightyfour percent of Cobb students met or exceeded expectations on the assessment, a one percent improvement over 2011.

Across the district, 37 Cobb elementary schools had mean scale scores higher than the state average. Thirtytwo schools saw an increase in their scale scores over the previous year. King Springs, Kennesaw Charter, Keheley and Compton elementary schools all posted strong gains in scale scores over 2011, with King Springs showing the highest increase of 25 points. King Springs and Tritt shared the top scale score of 246 . Schools with the greatest increase in students meeting or exceeding standards include Russell ( +17 percent), Keheley ( +16 percent), Compton (+16 percent), King Springs ( +13 percent) and Acworth ( +11 percent).

Linda Keeney, principal of King Springs Elementary, said her students have benefitted from a rigorous emphasis in writing across all grades and content areas throughout the last year. "Our teachers are modeling writing in every class and grade, every day," said Keeney. "We are using anchor papers [scored writing samples designed by the State Department of Education] and an excellent writing curriculum. In the end, it comes down to our teachers and a consistent team effort to get students to write."

Overall, the five schools with the highest scale scores were King Springs (246), Tritt (246), Sope Creek (244), East Side (239), and Timber Ridge (238). Schools with the highest percentage of students meeting or exceeding expectations include Tritt ( 99 percent), Kennesaw Charter ( 99 percent), Sope Creek ( 98 percent), Due West ( 97 percent), and King Springs ( 97 percent).

Mean scale scores for Students With Disabilities (SWD) and English Language Learners (ELL) both dipped slightly over 2011 averages. Fifty-six (56) percent of students with disabilities met or exceeded expectations, compared to just 46 percent statewide. The percentage of students with limited English proficiency meeting or exceeding standards declined from 63 percent to 53 percent this year.

[^0]As a part of the statewide testing program, the Fifth Grade Writing Assessment was administered in March and requires students to write a composition on an assigned topic. The assessment provides fifth graders with a measurement of their writing performance. The assessment includes different aspects of writing, or domains, which include Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions. Domains are rated on a scale of 1 to 5 . Performance of Cobb fifth graders as a whole was similar across all domains, ranging from 2.9 in Conventions to 3.1 in Style. This information can help students, parents, and teachers understand areas where students may need to focus their efforts to improve writing skills before taking the Eighth Grade Writing Assessment.

Each student paper is scored by professionals who have been trained to evaluate writing. Evaluators score student compositions on the four domains of effective writing (Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions), all of which should be present in a composition regardless of the topic.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 , and scores are reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

Summary data for the Fifth Grade Writing Assessment by school may be found in Tables I and II.
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Georgia law (O.C.G.A. §20-2-281) states "writing assessments shall be administered to students in grades three, five, eight, and eleven." The purpose of the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is to assess student achievement in the Georgia Performance Standards in writing and writing instruction. The Grade 5 Writing Assessment provides predictive information to fifth graders about their future writing performance in advance of taking the Grade 8 Writing Assessment.

The percentage of Cobb County School District students achieving the Meets and Exceeds standards in 2012 ranged from 53 percent for English Language Learners (ELL) to 91 percent for Asian and White students. The mean scaled scores for Cobb students ranged from a 192 for ELL students to 230 for Asian students.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 . Writing scores are also reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

## Key Findings

- A total of $\mathbf{8 0 4 7}$ Cobb students were assessed in the 2012 Grade 5 Writing Assessment. Eighty-four (84) percent of all students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 217 . This group includes all students with scorable papers.
- A total of $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 8}$ Special Education students were assessed in writing. Fifty-six (56) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 194.
- A total of 549 English Language Learner (ELL) students were assessed in writing. Fiftythree (53) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 192.


## Key Findings (Continued)

## Table 1

Comparison of 2011 and 2012 Percentages of $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students Meeting and Exceeding Writing Standards:

| Cobb Student Groups | Percentage of Students by Performance Level |  |  |  |  |  | Differences from 2011 to 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds |
| All Students | 17 | 71 | 12 | 16 | 70 | 14 | -1 | -1 | 2 |
| Regular Program | 13 | 73 | 14 | 12 | 73 | 16 | -1 | 0 | 2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 43 | 53 | 4 | 44 | 51 | 5 | 1 | -2 | 1 |
| English <br> Language <br> Learners | 38 | 62 | 1 | 46 | 52 | 1 | 8 | -10 | 0 |
| Females | 11 | 73 | 16 | 10 | 71 | 19 | -1 | -2 | 3 |
| Males | 23 | 69 | 9 | 21 | 69 | 10 | -2 | 0 | 1 |
| Asian | 6 | 70 | 24 | 8 | 66 | 25 | 2 | -4 | 1 |
| Black | 23 | 70 | 6 | 22 | 70 | 8 | -1 | 0 | 2 |
| Hispanic | 25 | 70 | 4 | 25 | 69 | 6 | 0 | -1 | 2 |
| White | 10 | 71 | 19 | 9 | 70 | 21 | -1 | -1 | 2 |

Table 2
Comparison of Mean Scale Scores for $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Writing Assessment:

| Cobb Student Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Difference from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ to 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 215 | 217 | 2 |
| Regular Program | 218 | 221 | 3 |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 195 | 194 | -1 |
| English Language <br> Learners | 194 | 192 | -2 |
| Males | 220 | 223 | 3 |
| Females | 209 | 211 | 2 |
| Asian | 230 | 230 | 0 |
| Black | 207 | 209 | 2 |
| Hispanic | 205 | 206 | 1 |
| White | 222 | 226 | 4 |

- Russell Elementary had the greatest gain in students meeting and exceeding expectations over last year, an increase of $16.6 \%$.
- King Springs Elementary had an average scale score of 246, a 25 point increase over 2011.
- Five (5) schools increased the overall percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards by more than 10 percentage points.


## Russell Keheley Compton King Springs Acworth

- Four (4) schools increased their mean scale scores by 10 points or more:

King Springs Kennesaw Charter Keheley Compton

- Thirty-seven (37) of Cobb's elementary schools had overall mean scale scores higher than the state mean scale score (213):

| King Springs | Mountain View | Big Shanty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tritt | Shallowford Falls | Pitner |
| Sope Creek | Davis | Frey |
| East Side | Rocky Mount | Mableton ES |
| Timber Ridge | Sedalia Park | Vaughan |
| Kennesaw Charter | Chalker | Varner |
| Mount Bethel | Pickett's Mill | Bullard |
| Murdock | Addison | Eastvalley |
| Due West | Harmony Leland | Russell |
| Kincaid | Ford | Bells Ferry |
| Garrison Mill | Keheley | Still |
| Kemp | Cheatham Hill | Imagine Int - Mableton |
|  | Imagine Int - Smyrna |  |

- Thirty (30) of these elementary schools had overall mean scale scores higher than the mean scale score for the District (217):

| King Springs | Due West | Rocky Mount | Keheley |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tritt | Kincaid | Sedalia Park | Cheatham Hill |
| Sope Creek | Garrison Mill | Chalker | Smyrna Imagine Int |
| East Side | Kemp | Pickett's Mill | Big Shanty |
| Timber Ridge | Mountain View | Addison | Pitner |
| Kennesaw Charter | Shallowford Falls | Harmony Leland | Frey |
| Mount Bethel | Davis | Ford | Mableton ES |
| Murdock |  |  | Vaughan |

- Forty (40) of Cobb's elementary schools had percentages higher than that of the state for students meeting or exceeding standards (81\%):

| Tritt | Davis | Addison | Pitner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kennesaw Charter | Mount Bethel | Russell | Lewis |
| Sope Creek | East Side | Harmony Leland | Mableton ES |
| Due West | Sedalia Park | Pickett's Mill | Varner |
| King Springs | Kemp | Big Shanty | Frey |
| Timber Ridge | Kincaid | Shallowford Falls | Bullard |
| Keheley | Mountain View | Imagine Int - Mableton | Still |
| Murdock | Imagine Int - Smyrna | Eastvalley | Bells Ferry |
| Rocky Mount | Chalker | Vaughan | Teasley |
| Ford | Garrison Mill | Cheatham Hill | Austell IS |

- Thirty-seven (37) of these elementary schools had percentages higher than that of the district for students meeting or exceeding standards (84\%).
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|  | \# Tested | Mean Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |
| Kincaid | 106 | 232 | 6 | 67 | 27 | 94 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| King Springs | 92 | 246 | 3 | 60 | 37 | 97 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| LaBelle | 74 | 199 | 38 | 58 | 4 | 62 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Lewis | 138 | 211 | 14 | 80 | 6 | 86 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Mableton ES | 55 | 219 | 15 | 65 | 20 | 85 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Mableton Imagine Charter | 38 | 214 | 11 | 84 | 5 | 89 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Milford | 88 | 207 | 26 | 69 | 5 | 74 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Mount Bethel | 187 | 235 | 5 | 63 | 32 | 95 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Mountain View | 137 | 228 | 6 | 70 | 24 | 94 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Murdock | 151 | 234 | 4 | 66 | 30 | 96 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Nicholson | 73 | 213 | 21 | 70 | 10 | 79 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| Nickajack | 106 | 205 | 29 | 67 | 4 | 71 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Norton Park | 97 | 207 | 22 | 73 | 5 | 78 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Pickett's Mill | 117 | 224 | 9 | 74 | 16 | 91 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Pitner | 145 | 219 | 14 | 72 | 13 | 86 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| Powder Springs | 155 | 204 | 23 | 74 | 4 | 77 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Powers Ferry | 67 | 206 | 24 | 75 | 1 | 76 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Riverside | 217 | 200 | 29 | 67 | 3 | 71 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Rocky Mount | 108 | 225 | 5 | 77 | 19 | 95 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Russell | 95 | 216 | 8 | 80 | 12 | 92 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| Sanders | 146 | 199 | 40 | 56 | 3 | 60 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Sedalia Park | 103 | 225 | 5 | 73 | 22 | 95 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Shallowford Falls | 120 | 226 | 10 | 63 | 27 | 90 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Sky View | 68 | 207 | 22 | 71 | 7 | 78 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Smyrna Imagine Int | 67 | 221 | 6 | 79 | 15 | 94 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Sope Creek | 165 | 244 | 2 | 58 | 41 | 98 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Still | 155 | 214 | 15 | 75 | 9 | 85 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Teasley | 91 | 210 | 18 | 78 | 4 | 82 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| Timber Ridge | 106 | 238 | 4 | 59 | 37 | 96 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Tritt | 160 | 246 | 1 | 58 | 41 | 99 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Varner | 123 | 217 | 15 | 74 | 11 | 85 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Vaughan | 138 | 218 | 12 | 76 | 12 | 88 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 |

*RESA: Atlanta, Buford, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett and Rockdale Counties, Decatur, and Marietta City systems

DNM = Did Not Meet Standards (Score of 100-199)
M = Met Standards (Score of 200-249)
**Scoring Information for Domains
E = Exceeded Standards (Score of 250-350) Range 1 (low) to 5 (high)
$\% \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{E}=$ Percentage of students meeting and exceeding standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEORGIA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 127,339 | 213 | 20 | 70 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | - | - | 16 | 73 | 12 |
| English Language Learners | - | - | 40 | 58 | 2 |
| Special Education | - | - | 53 | 44 | 2 |
| COBB COUNTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 8047 | 217 | 16 | 70 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 6989 | 221 | 12 | 73 | 16 |
| English Language Learners | 549 | 192 | 46 | 52 | 1 |
| Special Education | 1058 | 194 | 44 | 51 | 5 |
| ACWORTH |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 191 | 205 | 21 | 75 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 153 | 211 | 12 | 82 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 15 | 196 | 33 | 67 | 0 |
| Special Education | 38 | 181 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| ADDISON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 88 | 223 | 8 | 74 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 77 | 226 | 5 | 75 | 19 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 11 | 202 | 27 | 64 | 9 |
| ARGYLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 112 | 208 | 20 | 76 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 99 | 211 | 16 | 79 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 15 | 186 | 53 | 47 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 182 | 46 | 54 | 0 |
| AUSTELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 136 | 211 | 18 | 76 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 127 | 212 | 17 | 77 | 6 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| BAKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 133 | 210 | 23 | 67 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 110 | 216 | 15 | 74 | 12 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 23 | 183 | 65 | 35 | 0 |
| BELLS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 109 | 215 | 16 | 70 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 218 | 11 | 72 | 17 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 13 | 190 | 46 | 54 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BELMONT HILLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 79 | 201 | 33 | 65 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 72 | 205 | 26 | 71 | 3 |
| English Language Learners | 19 | 186 | 63 | 37 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| BIG SHANTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 264 | 220 | 10 | 77 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 225 | 224 | 5 | 81 | 14 |
| English Language Learners | 15 | 194 | 33 | 67 | 0 |
| Special Education | 39 | 197 | 38 | 54 | 8 |
| BIRNEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 102 | 201 | 39 | 57 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 85 | 203 | 38 | 58 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 12 | 177 | 92 | 8 | 0 |
| Special Education | 17 | 192 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| BLACKWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 123 | 208 | 22 | 71 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 99 | 216 | 12 | 79 | 9 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 24 | 176 | 63 | 38 | 0 |
| BROWN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 34 | 195 | 41 | 56 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 27 | 198 | 41 | 56 | 4 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| BRUMBY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 169 | 204 | 30 | 66 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 148 | 207 | 26 | 69 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 20 | 194 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Special Education | 21 | 183 | 57 | 43 | 0 |
| BRYANT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 122 | 204 | 30 | 66 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 206 | 25 | 72 | 4 |
| English Language Learners | 12 | 194 | 58 | 42 | 0 |
| Special Education | 16 | 194 | 63 | 31 | 6 |
| BULLARD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 181 | 216 | 15 | 71 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 161 | 218 | 13 | 73 | 14 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 20 | 206 | 35 | 55 | 10 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHALKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 142 | 224 | 6 | 74 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 126 | 228 | 2 | 76 | 21 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 16 | 197 | 38 | 56 | 6 |
| CHEATHAM HILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 197 | 221 | 13 | 70 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 177 | 224 | 10 | 72 | 18 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 20 | 200 | 45 | 45 | 10 |
| CLARKDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 71 | 205 | 31 | 66 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 56 | 209 | 27 | 70 | 4 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 15 | 194 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| CLAY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 92 | 201 | 22 | 77 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 84 | 206 | 18 | 81 | 1 |
| English Language Learners | 27 | 197 | 30 | 70 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| COMPTON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 80 | 203 | 31 | 66 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 67 | 205 | 25 | 72 | 3 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 13 | 191 | 62 | 38 | 0 |
| DAVIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 105 | 226 | 5 | 76 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 92 | 228 | 1 | 78 | 21 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 13 | 211 | 31 | 62 | 8 |
| DOWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 176 | 210 | 20 | 74 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 146 | 214 | 11 | 83 | 6 |
| English Language Learners | 14 | 190 | 43 | 57 | 0 |
| Special Education | 30 | 189 | 63 | 33 | 3 |
| DUE WEST |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 70 | 234 | 3 | 67 | 30 |
| Regular Program Students | 66 | 237 | 0 | 68 | 32 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EAST SIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 83 | 216 | 12 | 76 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 162 | 244 | 2 | 57 | 40 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 24 | 206 | 21 | 71 | 8 |
| EASTVALLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 83 | 216 | 12 | 76 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 75 | 219 | 11 | 76 | 13 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| FAIR OAKS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 132 | 203 | 30 | 67 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 117 | 204 | 29 | 68 | 3 |
| English Language Learners | 47 | 196 | 45 | 53 | 2 |
| Special Education | 15 | 196 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| FORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 172 | 223 | 5 | 78 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 152 | 225 | 3 | 78 | 19 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 20 | 207 | 15 | 85 | 0 |
| FREY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 102 | 219 | 15 | 68 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 87 | 224 | 7 | 74 | 20 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 15 | 193 | 60 | 33 | 7 |
| GARRISON MILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 114 | 230 | 8 | 68 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 98 | 231 | 6 | 70 | 23 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 16 | 221 | 19 | 56 | 25 |
| GREEN ACRES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 87 | 205 | 22 | 74 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 79 | 208 | 18 | 77 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 25 | 189 | 48 | 52 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| HARMONY-LELAND |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 90 | 223 | 9 | 73 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 84 | 226 | 6 | 75 | 19 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAYES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 180 | 207 | 24 | 72 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 149 | 211 | 17 | 79 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 25 | 186 | 48 | 52 | 0 |
| Special Education | 31 | 187 | 58 | 39 | 3 |
| HOLLYDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 124 | 194 | 40 | 56 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 202 | 29 | 65 | 6 |
| English Language Learners | 27 | 184 | 52 | 48 | 0 |
| Special Education | 18 | 149 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| KEHELEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 78 | 222 | 4 | 81 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 61 | 228 | 0 | 80 | 20 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 17 | 202 | 18 | 82 | 0 |
| KEMP |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 166 | 228 | 5 | 72 | 23 |
| Regular Program Students | 151 | 230 | 5 | 72 | 24 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 15 | 210 | 13 | 73 | 13 |
| KENNESAW CHARTER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 67 | 237 | 1 | 69 | 30 |
| Regular Program Students | 64 | 239 | 0 | 69 | 31 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| KINCAID |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 106 | 232 | 6 | 67 | 27 |
| Regular Program Students | 95 | 237 | 2 | 67 | 31 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 11 | 194 | 36 | 64 | 0 |
| KING SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 92 | 246 | 3 | 60 | 37 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 250 | 1 | 58 | 41 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| LABELLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 74 | 199 | 38 | 58 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 61 | 201 | 33 | 62 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 27 | 180 | 74 | 26 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 185 | 62 | 38 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEWIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 138 | 211 | 14 | 80 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 120 | 214 | 7 | 87 | 7 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 18 | 186 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| MABLETON ES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 55 | 219 | 15 | 65 | 20 |
| Regular Program Students | 47 | 223 | 6 | 74 | 19 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| MABLETON IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 38 | 214 | 11 | 84 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 38 | 214 | 11 | 84 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| MILFORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 88 | 207 | 26 | 69 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 82 | 209 | 23 | 72 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | 12 | 194 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| MOUNT BETHEL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 187 | 235 | 5 | 63 | 32 |
| Regular Program Students | 155 | 240 | 1 | 61 | 38 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 32 | 211 | 22 | 75 | 3 |
| MOUNTAIN VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 137 | 228 | 6 | 70 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 234 | 4 | 66 | 30 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 31 | 207 | 13 | 84 | 3 |
| MURDOCK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 151 | 234 | 4 | 66 | 30 |
| Regular Program Students | 137 | 238 | 1 | 66 | 32 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 14 | 197 | 29 | 57 | 14 |
| NICHOLSON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 73 | 213 | 21 | 70 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 62 | 215 | 18 | 73 | 10 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 11 | 203 | 36 | 55 | 9 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NICKAJACK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 106 | 205 | 29 | 67 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 93 | 207 | 24 | 73 | 3 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 13 | 187 | 69 | 23 | 8 |
| NORTON PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 97 | 207 | 22 | 73 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 85 | 210 | 18 | 76 | 6 |
| English Language Learners | 20 | 208 | 30 | 60 | 10 |
| Special Education | 12 | 190 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| PICKETT'S MILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 117 | 224 | 9 | 74 | 16 |
| Regular Program Students | 101 | 228 | 3 | 79 | 18 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 16 | 198 | 50 | 44 | 6 |
| PITNER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 145 | 219 | 14 | 72 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 125 | 224 | 9 | 76 | 15 |
| English Language Learners | 13 | 205 | 8 | 92 | 0 |
| Special Education | 20 | 193 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| POWDER SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 155 | 204 | 23 | 74 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 135 | 209 | 16 | 81 | 4 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 20 | 170 | 70 | 25 | 5 |
| POWERS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 67 | 206 | 24 | 75 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 59 | 208 | 19 | 80 | 2 |
| English Language Learners | 11 | 195 | 45 | 55 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| RIVERSIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 217 | 200 | 29 | 67 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 202 | 204 | 26 | 71 | 3 |
| English Language Learners | 29 | 188 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Special Education | 15 | 148 | 80 | 20 | 0 |
| ROCKY MOUNT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 108 | 225 | 5 | 77 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 92 | 228 | 4 | 74 | 22 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 16 | 209 | 6 | 94 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RUSSELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 95 | 216 | 8 | 80 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 89 | 219 | 7 | 81 | 12 |
| English Language Learners | 11 | 201 | 27 | 73 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| SANDERS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 146 | 199 | 40 | 56 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 127 | 202 | 34 | 62 | 4 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 19 | 179 | 84 | 16 | 0 |
| SEDALIA PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 103 | 225 | 5 | 73 | 22 |
| Regular Program Students | 91 | 229 | 1 | 75 | 24 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 12 | 193 | 33 | 58 | 8 |
| SHALLOWFORD FALLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 120 | 226 | 10 | 63 | 27 |
| Regular Program Students | 105 | 232 | 6 | 65 | 30 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 15 | 187 | 40 | 53 | 7 |
| SKY VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 68 | 207 | 22 | 71 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 54 | 216 | 15 | 76 | 9 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 14 | 173 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| SMYRNA IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 67 | 221 | 6 | 79 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 59 | 222 | 5 | 81 | 14 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| SOPE CREEK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 165 | 244 | 2 | 58 | 41 |
| Regular Program Students | 145 | 248 | 0 | 56 | 44 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 20 | 216 | 15 | 70 | 15 |
| STILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 155 | 214 | 15 | 75 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 127 | 219 | 8 | 81 | 11 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 28 | 191 | 50 | 50 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2012
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2012 | \# Tested | Average Scale <br> Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEASLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 91 | 210 | 18 | 78 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 211 | 14 | 81 | 5 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| TIMBER RIDGE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 106 | 238 | 4 | 59 | 37 |
| Regular Program Students | 90 | 238 | 3 | 61 | 36 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 16 | 240 | 6 | 50 | 44 |
| TRITT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 160 | 246 | 1 | 58 | 41 |
| Regular Program Students | 139 | 247 | 1 | 58 | 42 |
| English Language Learners | TFC |  | 234 | 5 | 57 |
| Special Education | 21 |  | 38 |  |  |
| VARNER |  | 217 | 15 | 74 | 11 |
| All Students | 123 | 103 | 219 | 12 | 76 |$| 13$.

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards
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