## Fifth Grade Writing Scores Hold Steady, Remain Ahead of State Average

Cobb County elementary students' scores on the 2013 Georgia Fifth Grade Writing Assessment matched the previous year's average of $\mathbf{2 1 7}$, surpassing the statewide score (215) by two points. While the percentage of Cobb fifth graders who scored in the Meets Standards range of 200-249 declined from 70 percent to 67 percent, the number of students who scored in the Exceeds Standards range (scores of 250-350) increased by 1 percent (see Table I).

Tritt (247) and King Springs (246) posted the District's highest scale scores for 2013 and are among 35 Cobb County elementary schools with mean scale scores equal to or greater than the state average of 215 . A number of schools also made noteworthy gains over prior year averages. Birney and Brown, both Title I schools, each improved the percentage of students of students meeting or exceeding expectations by more than 16 percent! Six schools increased their mean scale scores by 10 points or more, including Birney, Brown, Hollydale, Keheley, Nickajack and Shallowford Falls.

The District continues to monitor the writing performance of specific student groups, with progress for some groups and room for improvement among others. The mean scale score for English Language Learners (ELL) improved by one point (193), and while the percentage of ELL students meeting or exceeding standards held at 53 percent, the number exceeding expectations increased by 1 percent (see Table II). The average score for Students With Disabilities (SWD) matched the 2012 score of 194, despite a slight decline in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards. Hispanic students posted both a 2-point increase in mean score and increased the percentage of students scoring 250 or higher. Asian students improved scale scores by 3 points and posted a higher percentage of students exceeding standards than in 2012.

The Fifth Grade Writing Assessment was administered to 8,166 students in March 2013, requiring fifth graders to write a composition on an assigned topic. Trained evaluators score each student paper based on the four domains of effective writing: Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions. The test results are designed to help students, teachers and parents understand specific areas where students may need to focus their efforts to improve
writing skills during middle school. Student composition skills are evaluated again during eighth grade and assessed prior to graduation with the Georgia High School Writing Test.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 , and scores are reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

Summary data for the Fifth Grade Writing Assessment by school may be found in Tables I and II.

# COBB COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TESTING BRIEF <br> Fifth Grade Writing Assessment Spring 2013 

Georgia law (O.C.G.A. §20-2-281) states "writing assessments shall be administered to students in grades three, five, eight, and eleven." The purpose of the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is to assess student achievement in the Georgia Performance Standards in writing and writing instruction. The Grade 5 Writing Assessment provides predictive information to fifth graders about their future writing performance in advance of taking the Grade 8 Writing Assessment.

The percentage of Cobb County School District students achieving the Meets and Exceeds standards in 2013 ranged from 53 percent for English Language Learners (ELL) to 94 percent for Asian students. The mean scaled scores for Cobb students ranged from a 193 for ELL students to 233 for Asian students.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 . Writing scores are also reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

## Key Findings

- A total of $\mathbf{8 1 6 6}$ Cobb students were assessed in the 2013 Grade 5 Writing Assessment. Eighty-two (82) percent of all students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 217 . This group includes all students with scorable papers.
- A total of $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 8}$ Special Education students were assessed in writing. Fifty-four (54) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 194.
- A total of 499 English Language Learner (ELL) students were assessed in writing. Fiftythree (53) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 193.


## Key Findings (Continued)

Table 1
Comparison of 2012 and 2013 Percentages of $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students Meeting and Exceeding Writing Standards:

| Cobb Student <br> Groups | Percentage of Students by Performance Level |  |  | Differences from <br> 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds |
| All Students | 16 | 70 | 14 | 18 | 67 | 15 | 2 | $(3)$ | 1 |
| Regular <br> Program | 12 | 73 | 16 | 14 | 69 | 17 | 2 | $(4)$ | 1 |
| English <br> Language <br> Learners | 46 | 52 | 1 | 47 | 51 | 2 | 1 | $(1)$ | 1 |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 44 | 51 | 5 | 46 | 49 | 5 | 2 | $(2)$ | 0 |
| Females | 10 | 71 | 19 | 12 | 68 | 20 | 2 | $(3)$ | 1 |
| Males | 21 | 69 | 10 | 24 | 65 | 11 | 3 | $(4)$ | 1 |
| Asian | 8 | 66 | 25 | 7 | 66 | 28 | $(1)$ | 0 | 3 |
| Black | 22 | 70 | 8 | 25 | 65 | 9 | 3 | $(5)$ | 1 |
| Hispanic | 25 | 69 | 6 | 26 | 67 | 7 | 1 | $(2)$ | 1 |
| White | 9 | 70 | 21 | 11 | 67 | 22 | 2 | $(3)$ | 1 |

Table 2
Comparison of Mean Scale Scores for $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Writing Assessment:

| Cobb Student Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Difference from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ to 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 217 | 217 | 0 |
| Regular Program | 221 | 221 | 0 |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 194 | 194 | 0 |
| English Language <br> Learners | 192 | 193 | 1 |
| Females | 223 | 224 | 1 |
| Males | 211 | 211 | 0 |
| Asian | 230 | 233 | 3 |
| Black | 209 | 209 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 206 | 208 | 2 |
| White | 226 | 225 | -1 |

- Birney Elementary and Brown Elementary both topped the district with the greatest gain in students meeting and exceeding expectations over last year, an increase of 16.8\%.

Brown Elementary students raised their scale score 16 points to an average of 211, reflecting the greatest increase over 2012 among Cobb schools. The highest scale score for the district was achieved by Tritt Elementary students, with a score of 247.

Four (4) schools increased the overall percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards by 10 percentage points or more:

Birney Brown Hollydale Keheley

- Six (6) schools increased their mean scale scores by 10 points or more:

Birney Brown Hollydale
Keheley Nickajack Shallowford Falls

- Thirty-five (35) of Cobb's elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the state mean scale score (215):

| Addison | Baker | Bullard | Chalker | Cheatham Hill |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Davis | Due West | East Side | Ford | Frey |
| Garrison Mill | Harmony Leland | Keheley | Kemp | Kennesaw Charter |
| Kincaid | King Springs | Mableton | Mount Bethel | Mountain View |
| Murdock | Nicholson | Nickajack | Pickett's Mill | Pitner |
| Rocky Mount | Sedalia Park | Shallowford Falls | Smyrna Charter | Sope Creek |
| Teasley | Timber Ridge | Tritt | Varner | Vaughan |

- Thirty-one (31) of these elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the mean scale score for the District (217):

| Addison | Baker | Bullard | Chalker | Cheatham Hill |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Davis | Due West | East Side | Ford | Garrison Mill |
| Harmony Leland | Keheley | Kemp | Kennesaw Charter | Kincaid |
| King Springs | Mableton | Mount Bethel | Mountain View | Murdock |
| Nicholson | Pickett's Mill | Rocky Mount | Sedalia Park | Shallowford Falls |
| Smyrna Charter | Sope Creek | Timber Ridge | Tritt | Varner |
|  |  | Vaughan |  |  |

- Thirty-nine (39) of Cobb's elementary schools had percentages equal to or higher than that of the state for students meeting or exceeding standards (79\%):

| Addison | Argyle | Baker |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bells Ferry | Big Shanty | Bullard |
| Chalker | Cheatham Hill | Davis |
| Due West | East Side | Ford |
| Frey | Garrison Mill | Harmony Leland |
| Keheley | Kemp | Kennesaw Charter |
| Kincaid | King Springs | Mableton |
| Mount Bethel | Mountain View | Murdock |
| Nicholson | Nickajack | Pickett's Mill |
| Pitner | Rocky Mount | Sedalia Park |
| Shallowford Falls | Smyrna Charter | Sope Creek |
| Still | Teasley | Timber Ridge |
| Tritt | Varner | Vaughan |

- Thirty-five (35) of these elementary schools had percentages equal to or higher than that of the district for students meeting or exceeding standards (82\%).

| Addison | Argyle | Baker | Bells Ferry | Big Shanty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bullard | Chalker | Cheatham Hill | Davis | Due West |
| East Side | Ford | Frey | Garrison Mill | Harmony Leland |
| Keheley | Kemp | Kennesaw Charter | Kincaid | King Springs |
| Mableton | Mount Bethel | Mountain View | Murdock | Pickett's Mill |
| Rocky Mount | Sedalia Park | Shallowford Falls | Smyrna Charter | Sope Creek |
| Teasley | Timber Ridge | Tritt | Varner | Vaughan |




Note: Percentages are rounded
*RESA: Atlanta, Buford, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett and Rockdale Counties, Decatur, and Marietta City systems

DNM = Did Not Meet Standards (Score of 100-199)
M = Met Standards (Score of 200-249)
$E=$ Exceeded Standards (Score of 250-350)
**Scoring Information for Domains Range 1 (low) to 5 (high)
$\% \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{E}=$ Percentage of students meeting and exceeding standards (rounded)

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEORGIA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 124,968 | 215 | 21 | 66 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | - | - | 17 | 69 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | - | - | 48 | 51 | 1 |
| Special Education | - | - | 55 | 42 | 3 |
| COBB COUNTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 8,166 | 217 | 18 | 67 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 7,068 | 221 | 14 | 69 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | 499 | 193 | 47 | 51 | 2 |
| Special Education | 1,098 | 194 | 46 | 49 | 5 |
| Acworth |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 214 | 208 | 23 | 70 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 168 | 215 | 14 | 77 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 46 | 183 | 57 | 43 | 0 |
| Addison |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 102 | 219 | 15 | 72 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 90 | 225 | 10 | 74 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 12 | 176 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Argyle |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 87 | 210 | 15 | 78 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 80 | 213 | 13 | 80 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Austell |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 56 | 206 | 25 | 71 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 51 | 206 | 22 | 75 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Baker |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 115 | 219 | 15 | 73 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 99 | 224 | 8 | 78 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 188 | 56 | 44 | 0 |
| Bells Ferry |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 105 | 215 | 15 | 73 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 89 | 218 | 10 | 76 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 198 | 44 | 56 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belmont Hills |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 58 | 202 | 34 | 62 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 47 | 206 | 21 | 74 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 194 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 185 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
| Big Shanty |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 250 | 214 | 17 | 72 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 210 | 219 | 12 | 75 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 40 | 191 | 43 | 55 | 3 |
| Birney |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 125 | 211 | 22 | 66 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 111 | 215 | 18 | 69 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | 20 | 195 | 45 | 50 | 5 |
| Special Education | 14 | 180 | 57 | 36 | 7 |
| Blackwell |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 104 | 205 | 29 | 68 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 207 | 23 | 73 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 193 | 52 | 48 | 0 |
| Brown |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 41 | 211 | 24 | 66 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 37 | 214 | 19 | 70 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Brumby |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 133 | 206 | 24 | 71 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 123 | 208 | 22 | 72 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | 13 | 196 | 46 | 54 | 0 |
| Special Education | 10 | 185 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Bryant |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 146 | 206 | 29 | 64 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 123 | 209 | 25 | 67 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 14 | 207 | 29 | 71 | 0 |
| Special Education | 23 | 189 | 52 | 43 | 4 |
| Bullard |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 183 | 219 | 16 | 69 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 164 | 225 | 10 | 74 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 171 | 68 | 26 | 5 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chalker |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 122 | 227 | 6 | 73 | 21 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 232 | 1 | 75 | 25 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 195 | 38 | 63 | 0 |
| Cheatham Hill |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 186 | 218 | 16 | 73 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 162 | 220 | 10 | 77 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 201 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Clarkdale |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 109 | 207 | 21 | 74 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 209 | 20 | 75 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 187 | 31 | 69 | 0 |
| Clay |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 55 | 197 | 33 | 67 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 51 | 199 | 29 | 71 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 184 | 58 | 42 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Compton |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 93 | 199 | 39 | 58 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 79 | 201 | 33 | 63 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 13 | 189 | 62 | 38 | 0 |
| Special Education | 14 | 184 | 71 | 29 | 0 |
| Davis |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 105 | 227 | 6 | 70 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 91 | 232 | 0 | 74 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 196 | 43 | 50 | 7 |
| Dowell |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 177 | 210 | 23 | 70 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 148 | 215 | 17 | 76 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 195 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| Special Education | 29 | 181 | 55 | 41 | 3 |
| Due West |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 101 | 239 | 5 | 62 | 33 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 243 | 2 | 61 | 36 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 222 | 17 | 67 | 17 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Side |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 184 | 233 | 7 | 65 | 28 |
| Regular Program Students | 160 | 237 | 2 | 68 | 31 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 206 | 38 | 50 | 13 |
| Eastvalley |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 100 | 212 | 26 | 63 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 86 | 216 | 23 | 65 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 188 | 43 | 50 | 7 |
| Fair Oaks |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 113 | 205 | 40 | 55 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 208 | 34 | 59 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | 43 | 195 | 53 | 47 | 0 |
| Special Education | 17 | 189 | 71 | 29 | 0 |
| Ford |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 127 | 220 | 13 | 73 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 108 | 223 | 7 | 77 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 200 | 42 | 53 | 5 |
| Frey |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 108 | 215 | 15 | 72 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 217 | 15 | 71 | 15 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 12 | 203 | 17 | 83 | 0 |
| Garrison Mill |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 142 | 229 | 11 | 67 | 23 |
| Regular Program Students | 127 | 231 | 7 | 69 | 24 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 211 | 40 | 53 | 7 |
| Green Acres |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 121 | 200 | 36 | 61 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 110 | 202 | 35 | 63 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 28 | 186 | 57 | 43 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 177 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Harmony Leland |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 117 | 222 | 11 | 71 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 226 | 6 | 75 | 20 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 205 | 27 | 73 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 188 | 64 | 36 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

## Cobb County School District

Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hayes |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 173 | 211 | 27 | 60 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 145 | 218 | 17 | 68 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | 15 | 186 | 60 | 40 | 0 |
| Special Education | 28 | 176 | 82 | 18 | 0 |
| Hendricks |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 124 | 209 | 24 | 69 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 108 | 214 | 19 | 73 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 180 | 63 | 38 | 0 |
| Hollydale |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 120 | 208 | 28 | 68 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 210 | 25 | 70 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 193 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| Special Education | 14 | 192 | 43 | 57 | 0 |
| Keheley |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 89 | 228 | 9 | 69 | 22 |
| Regular Program Students | 69 | 234 | 4 | 70 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 20 | 207 | 25 | 65 | 10 |
| Kemp |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 165 | 231 | 7 | 64 | 29 |
| Regular Program Students | 142 | 234 | 2 | 67 | 31 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 23 | 213 | 35 | 48 | 17 |
| Kennesaw Charter |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 75 | 235 | 4 | 63 | 33 |
| Regular Program Students | 64 | 240 | 0 | 61 | 39 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 205 | 27 | 73 | 0 |
| Kincaid |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 107 | 230 | 9 | 62 | 29 |
| Regular Program Students | 89 | 235 | 6 | 62 | 33 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 205 | 28 | 61 | 11 |
| King Springs |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 99 | 246 | 4 | 57 | 39 |
| Regular Program Students | 90 | 250 | 2 | 54 | 43 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LaBelle |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 74 | 203 | 31 | 69 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 70 | 204 | 27 | 73 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 22 | 192 | 68 | 32 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Lewis |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 123 | 210 | 30 | 59 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 108 | 213 | 25 | 63 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 186 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| Mableton |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 145 | 225 | 10 | 67 | 23 |
| Regular Program Students | 125 | 229 | 6 | 67 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | 16 | 213 | 25 | 63 | 13 |
| Special Education | 20 | 205 | 30 | 65 | 5 |
| Milford |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 73 | 203 | 29 | 64 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 57 | 211 | 21 | 70 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | 11 | 175 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| Special Education | 16 | 174 | 56 | 44 | 0 |
| Mount Bethel |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 148 | 239 | 2 | 63 | 35 |
| Regular Program Students | 124 | 242 | 1 | 61 | 38 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 227 | 8 | 71 | 21 |
| Mountain View |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 146 | 219 | 10 | 83 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 136 | 220 | 7 | 85 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 10 | 201 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Murdock |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 143 | 220 | 12 | 69 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 125 | 223 | 9 | 70 | 21 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 205 | 33 | 61 | 6 |
| Nicholson |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 88 | 217 | 19 | 66 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 68 | 223 | 12 | 69 | 19 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 20 | 198 | 45 | 55 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nickajack |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 126 | 215 | 20 | 61 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 118 | 219 | 15 | 65 | 19 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Norton Park |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 109 | 202 | 32 | 65 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 93 | 203 | 29 | 68 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 19 | 194 | 53 | 47 | 0 |
| Special Education | 16 | 196 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| Pickett's Mill |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 120 | 227 | 6 | 75 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 101 | 231 | 2 | 75 | 23 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 204 | 26 | 74 | 0 |
| Pitner |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 175 | 216 | 18 | 66 | 16 |
| Regular Program Students | 153 | 222 | 14 | 69 | 18 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 22 | 177 | 50 | 45 | 5 |
| Powder Springs |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 118 | 207 | 28 | 65 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 103 | 212 | 20 | 72 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 169 | 80 | 20 | 0 |
| Powers Ferry |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 69 | 202 | 29 | 68 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 65 | 205 | 26 | 71 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Riverside |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 277 | 194 | 43 | 54 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 246 | 199 | 37 | 60 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | 38 | 187 | 63 | 37 | 0 |
| Special Education | 31 | 161 | 90 | 6 | 3 |
| Rocky Mount |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 110 | 229 | 5 | 69 | 26 |
| Regular Program Students | 85 | 232 | 2 | 71 | 27 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 25 | 219 | 12 | 64 | 24 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Russell |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 124 | 206 | 29 | 65 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 104 | 210 | 27 | 65 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | 15 | 185 | 47 | 47 | 7 |
| Special Education | 20 | 184 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| Sanders |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 123 | 198 | 46 | 52 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 110 | 201 | 41 | 56 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 174 | 85 | 15 | 0 |
| Sedalia Park |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 112 | 229 | 10 | 65 | 25 |
| Regular Program Students | 100 | 232 | 7 | 66 | 27 |
| Limited English Proficient | 14 | 208 | 29 | 64 | 7 |
| Special Education | 12 | 209 | 33 | 58 | 8 |
| Shallowford Falls |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 103 | 238 | 8 | 54 | 38 |
| Regular Program Students | 89 | 245 | 0 | 57 | 43 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 188 | 57 | 36 | 7 |
| Smyrna Charter |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 100 | 224 | 10 | 72 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 96 | 225 | 10 | 71 | 19 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Sope Creek |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 198 | 235 | 3 | 67 | 30 |
| Regular Program Students | 169 | 238 | 2 | 65 | 33 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 29 | 217 | 10 | 79 | 10 |
| Still |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 121 | 214 | 20 | 70 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 100 | 219 | 13 | 76 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 190 | 52 | 43 | 5 |
| Teasley |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 85 | 216 | 18 | 67 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 77 | 218 | 17 | 66 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Fifth Grade Writing Assessment: 2013
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2013 | \# Tested | Average Scale <br> Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timber Ridge |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 107 | 233 | 3 | 73 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 88 | 237 | 0 | 74 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 216 | 16 | 68 | 16 |
| Tritt |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 162 | 247 | 1 | 56 | 44 |
| Regular Program Students | 141 | 251 | 0 | 52 | 48 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 222 | 5 | 81 | 14 |
| Varner |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 134 | 219 | 12 | 72 | 16 |
| Regular Program Students | 119 | 219 | 10 | 74 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 15 | 213 | 27 | 60 | 13 |
| Vaughan |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 118 | 217 | 16 | 71 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 102 | 219 | 13 | 74 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 199 | 38 | 56 | 6 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

