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## Cobb Fifth Graders Increase Passing Rate on the Writing Test

Cobb County fifth graders showed an increase on the 2009 Georgia writing assessment, increasing the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards, but their mean scale score dropped slightly. Eighty-three percent of fifth graders in the Cobb County School District met or exceeded standards, a two-percent improvement from 2008. Scale scores in Cobb decreased slightly by two points from 220 to 218. However, the percent passing and Cobb’s mean scale score were higher than both state and metro Atlanta averages (See Table I).

The writing assessment includes information about student performance in different aspects of writing or domains. These domains include Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions. Domains are rated on a scale of 1 to 5 . Performance of Cobb fifth graders as a whole was similar across all domains, ranging from 3.0 in Conventions to 3.1 in Organization, Ideas, and Style.

The performance of students in special populations (Students with disabilities and English Language Learners) was a highlight of this year’s results, as was last year's results (See Table II). The percentage of English Language Learners meeting or exceeding standards improved from 48 percent to 56 percent, while the percentage of Students with disabilities students rose from 52 percent to 59 percent. Average scale scores for English Language Learners improved five points, from 189 to 194, while Students with disabilities students improved their average score two points, from 195 to 197. A gap continues to exist between the performance of regular education students and students in these special populations, but 2009 scores indicate a continuous trend of improvement.
"This shows that we are continuing to address the gap which we know exists between our regular education students and other subgroups. I am proud of the continuing efforts of our teachers and their continuing efforts to provide the best education for all of our students. The result of their continuing efforts and dedication is showing up in the performance of all of our students, especially with our special population students," said Superintendent Fred Sanderson. "These efforts are crucial to our meeting No Child Left Behind standards. Our curriculum supervisors are working with the local schools, assisting them with meeting the needs of their students."

Thirty-six of Cobb elementary schools topped the state scale scores. Highest scores were posted by Timber Ridge (250); Shallowford Falls (248) and Tritt (242). Sixteen Cobb schools reported more than 90 percent of students meet or exceed standards (Bullard, Davis, East Side, Ford, Frey, Garrison Mill, Kemp, Mt. Bethel, Mountain View, Rocky Mount, Shallowford Falls, Sope Creek, Teasley, Timber Ridge, Tritt, Vaughan).

As a part of the statewide testing program, the Fifth Grade Writing Assessment was administered in March and requires students to write a composition on an assigned topic. The assessment provides fifth graders with a measurement of their writing performance. This information can help students, parents, and teachers understand areas where students may need to focus their efforts to improve writing skills before taking the Eighth Grade Writing Assessment.

Each student paper is scored by professionals who have been trained to evaluate writing. Evaluators score student compositions on four qualities of effective writing. These qualities, or domains, of effective writing should be present in a composition regardless of the topic. The domains include Ideas, Organization, Style, and Conventions.

The scale score range for the new Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350 . Writing scores are reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

More information about the Cobb County School District is available on the District Web site at www.cobbk12.org.

# COBB COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TESTING BRIEF 

Fifth Grade Writing Assessment<br>Spring 2009

Georgia law (O.C.G.A. §20-2-281) states "writing assessments shall be administered to students in grades three, five, eight, and eleven." The purpose of the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is to assess student achievement in the Georgia Performance Standards in writing and to improve writing and writing instruction. The Grade 5 Writing Assessment provides predictive information to fifth graders about their future writing performance in advance of taking the Grade 8 Writing Assessment.

The percentage of Cobb County School District students achieving the Meets and Exceeds standards in 2009 ranged from 56 percent for English Language Learners (ELL) to 93 percent for Asian students. The mean scaled scores for Cobb student ranged from a 194 for ELL students to 231 for Asian students.

The scale score range for the Grade 5 Writing Assessment is 100 to 350. Writing scores are also reported in the following performance levels: Does Not Meet (100-199), Meets (200-249), and Exceeds (250-350).

## Key Findings

o A total of 7,941 Cobb students were assessed in the 2009 Grade 5 Writing Assessment. Eighty-three (83) percent of all students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 218. This group includes all students with scorable papers.
o A total of 1,154 Special Education students were assessed in writing. Fifty-nine (59) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 197.
o A total of 379 English Language Learner (ELL) students were assessed in writing. Fifty-six (56) percent of students achieved the Meets or Exceeds standards set for writing with a mean scaled score of 194.

## Key Findings (Continued)

Table 1
Comparison of 2008 and 2009 Percentages of $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students Meeting and Exceeding Writing Standards

| Cobb Student Groups | Percentage of Students by Performance Level |  |  |  |  |  | Differences from 2008 to 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does <br> Not <br> Meet | Meets | Exceeds | Does Not Meet | Meets | Exceeds |
| All Students | 19 | 66 | 15 | 17 | 72 | 11 | -2 | +6 | -4 |
| Regular Program | 15 | 69 | 16 | 13 | 75 | 12 | -2 | +6 | -4 |
| Students with Disabilities | 48 | 47 | 5 | 41 | 53 | 6 | -7 | +6 | +1 |
| English Language Learners | 52 | 47 | 1 | 44 | 55 | 1 | -8 | +8 | 0 |
| Males | 24 | 65 | 11 | 23 | 69 | 8 | -1 | +4 | -3 |
| Females | 14 | 68 | 18 | 12 | 74 | 14 | -2 | +6 | -4 |
| Asian | 8 | 65 | 27 | 7 | 75 | 18 | -1 | +10 | -9 |
| Black | 27 | 66 | 7 | 24 | 71 | 5 | -3 | +5 | -2 |
| Hispanic | 31 | 63 | 6 | 28 | 68 | 4 | -3 | +5 | -2 |
| White | 11 | 67 | 22 | 10 | 73 | 17 | -1 | +6 | -5 |
| Multi-Ethnic | 15 | 73 | 12 | 16 | 74 | 10 | +1 | +1 | -2 |

Table 2
Comparison of Mean Scale Scores for $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Writing Assessment

| Cobb Student Group | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | Difference from <br> 2008 to 2009 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 220 | 218 | -2 |
| Regular Program | 223 | 221 | -2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 195 | 197 | +2 |
| English Language Learners | 189 | 194 | +5 |
| Males | 214 | 211 | -3 |
| Females | 225 | 225 | 0 |
| Asian | 236 | 231 | -5 |
| Black | 210 | 209 | -1 |
| Hispanic | 206 | 206 | 0 |
| White | 229 | 226 | -3 |
| Multi-Ethnic | 221 | 218 | -3 |

o Thirty-six (36) of Cobb's elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the state mean scale score.
o Thirty-two (32) of Cobb's elementary schools had overall mean scale scores equal to or higher than the mean scale score for Metro RESA.
o Thirty-one (31) of Cobb's elementary schools had higher mean scale scores equal to or greater than the mean scale score for Cobb.
o Forty (40) of Cobb's elementary schools had percentages higher than or equal to that of the state for students meeting or exceeding standards.
o Thirty-two (32) of Cobb's elementary schools had percentages higher than or equal to that of the district for students meeting or exceeding standards.
o There were nine (9) schools increasing the overall percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards by 10 percentage points or more.

| Austell | Belmont Hills | Birney | Brown | Compton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East Side | Garrison Mill | LaBelle | Mableton |  |

o Nine (9) schools increased their mean scale scores by 5 points or more:
Belmont Hills
Birney
LaBelle
Mableton
Compton
Dowell
Shallowford Falls
East Side
Vaughan
o Of the above nine schools, four (4) schools increased their mean scale scores by 10 points or more:

Compton Fair Oaks Mableton Shallowford Falls

|  | \# Tested | Mean Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |
| Cobb | 7,941 | 218 | 17.0 | 72.0 | 11.0 | 83.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Metro Resa* | 45,629 | 217 | 18.0 | 71.0 | 11.0 | 82.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Georgia | 122,977 | 213 | 22.0 | 70.0 | 8.0 | 78.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Acworth | 202 | 208 | 21.0 | 75.0 | 4.0 | 79.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Addison | 112 | 223 | 10.0 | 75.0 | 15.0 | 90.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Argyle | 96 | 206 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Austell | 150 | 206 | 29.0 | 67.0 | 5.0 | 72.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Baker | 115 | 216 | 17.0 | 72.0 | 11.0 | 83.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Bells Ferry | 89 | 212 | 13.0 | 81.0 | 6.0 | 87.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Belmont Hills | 79 | 205 | 32.0 | 65.0 | 4.0 | 69.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Big Shanty | 273 | 223 | 11.0 | 74.0 | 15.0 | 89.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Birney | 116 | 213 | 22.0 | 74.0 | 4.0 | 78.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Blackwell | 108 | 218 | 15.0 | 76.0 | 9.0 | 85.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Brown | 28 | 204 | 29.0 | 71.0 | 0.0 | 71.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Brumby | 110 | 204 | 29.0 | 69.0 | 2.0 | 71.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Bryant | 132 | 207 | 22.0 | 75.0 | 3.0 | 78.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Bullard | 213 | 229 | 8.0 | 73.0 | 19.0 | 92.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Chalker | 152 | 222 | 15.0 | 70.0 | 15.0 | 85.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Cheatham Hill | 167 | 221 | 14.0 | 74.0 | 11.0 | 85.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Clarkdale | 61 | 209 | 31.0 | 64.0 | 5.0 | 69.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Clay | 84 | 200 | 30.0 | 68.0 | 2.0 | 70.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Compton | 92 | 207 | 27.0 | 71.0 | 2.0 | 73.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Davis | 88 | 231 | 7.0 | 70.0 | 23.0 | 93.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Devereux | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Dowell | 155 | 213 | 23.0 | 69.0 | 8.0 | 77.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Due West | 74 | 217 | 14.0 | 73.0 | 14.0 | 87.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| East Side | 174 | 237 | 5.0 | 71.0 | 24.0 | 95.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Eastvalley | 75 | 222 | 12.0 | 77.0 | 11.0 | 88.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Fair Oaks | 98 | 193 | 47.0 | 52.0 | 1.0 | 53.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| Ford | 159 | 225 | 5.0 | 86.0 | 9.0 | 95.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Frey | 109 | 225 | 6.0 | 77.0 | 17.0 | 94.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Garrison Mill | 111 | 228 | 4.0 | 85.0 | 12.0 | 97.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Green Acres | 95 | 204 | 33.0 | 66.0 | 1.0 | 67.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Harmony Leland | 72 | 216 | 18.0 | 74.0 | 8.0 | 82.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Hayes | 177 | 208 | 24.0 | 69.0 | 6.0 | 75.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Hollydale | 131 | 205 | 26.0 | 72.0 | 2.0 | 74.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Keheley | 85 | 225 | 14.0 | 67.0 | 19.0 | 86.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Kemp | 156 | 227 | 6.0 | 79.0 | 14.0 | 93.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 |


|  |  | Mean |  |  |  |  | WRITING DOMAINS** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Tested | Scale Score | \% DNM | \% M | \% E | \% M+E | Ideas | Organization | Style | Conventions |
| Kennesaw Charter | 71 | 212 | 21.0 | 70.0 | 8.0 | 78.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Kincaid | 89 | 227 | 11.0 | 74.0 | 15.0 | 89.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| King Springs | 89 | 218 | 12.0 | 79.0 | 9.0 | 88.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| LaBelle | 66 | 203 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 68.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Lewis | 169 | 211 | 20.0 | 77.0 | 3.0 | 80.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Mableton | 63 | 222 | 14.0 | 73.0 | 13.0 | 86.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| Mableton Imagine Char | 69 | 203 | 33.0 | 61.0 | 6.0 | 67.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Milford | 92 | 203 | 38.0 | 59.0 | 3.0 | 62.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Mount Bethel | 159 | 238 | 1.0 | 76.0 | 23.0 | 99.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Mountain View | 138 | 228 | 5.0 | 82.0 | 13.0 | 95.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Murdock | 151 | 230 | 11.0 | 72.0 | 17.0 | 89.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Nicholson | 79 | 220 | 22.0 | 67.0 | 11.0 | 78.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Nickajack | 88 | 213 | 18.0 | 78.0 | 3.0 | 81.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Norton Park | 124 | 200 | 35.0 | 64.0 | 2.0 | 66.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Pickett's Mill | 119 | 231 | 9.0 | 72.0 | 18.0 | 90.0 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Pitner | 162 | 217 | 17.0 | 70.0 | 12.0 | 82.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Powder Springs | 166 | 210 | 22.0 | 73.0 | 5.0 | 78.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Powers Ferry | 84 | 201 | 39.0 | 60.0 | 1.0 | 61.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Riverside | 163 | 199 | 34.0 | 63.0 | 3.0 | 66.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Rocky Mount | 105 | 228 | 9.0 | 73.0 | 18.0 | 91.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Russell | 109 | 209 | 25.0 | 71.0 | 5.0 | 76.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Sanders | 149 | 203 | 34.0 | 64.0 | 2.0 | 66.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Sedalia Park | 140 | 222 | 11.0 | 79.0 | 10.0 | 89.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Shallowford Falls | 112 | 248 | 3.0 | 63.0 | 35.0 | 98.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Sky View | 61 | 201 | 43.0 | 51.0 | 7.0 | 58.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Smyrna Imagine Int | 51 | 211 | 14.0 | 86.0 | 0.0 | 86.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Sope Creek | 173 | 239 | 3.0 | 71.0 | 27.0 | 98.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Still | 116 | 219 | 11.0 | 80.0 | 9.0 | 89.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Teasley | 74 | 223 | 7.0 | 85.0 | 8.0 | 93.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Timber Ridge | 81 | 250 | 4.0 | 54.0 | 42.0 | 96.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Tritt | 134 | 242 | 4.0 | 60.0 | 36.0 | 96.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| Varner | 168 | 212 | 25.0 | 66.0 | 9.0 | 75.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Vaughan | 186 | 224 | 9.0 | 80.0 | 12.0 | 92.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 |

*RESA: Atlanta, Buford, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett and Rockdale Counties, Decatur, and Marietta City systems
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards (Score of 100-199)
M = Met Standards (Score of 200-249)
**Scoring Information for Domains
E = Exceeded Standards (Score of 250-350)
$\% \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{E}=$ Percentage of students meeting and exceeding standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2009 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2009 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEORGIA |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 122,977 | 213 | 22 | 70 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students |  |  | 18 | 73 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient |  |  | 44 | 54 | 1 |
| Special Education |  |  | 56 | 42 | 2 |
| COBB COUNTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 7941 | 218 | 17 | 72 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 6787 | 221 | 13 | 75 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | 379 | 194 | 45 | 55 | 1 |
| Special Education | 1154 | 197 | 41 | 53 | 6 |
| ACWORTH |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 202 | 208 | 21 | 75 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 169 | 213 | 14 | 82 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 33 | 183 | 61 | 36 | 3 |
| ADDISON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 112 | 223 | 10 | 75 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 86 | 229 | 6 | 78 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 26 | 206 | 23 | 65 | 12 |
| ARGYLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 96 | 206 | 20 | 80 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 85 | 211 | 14 | 86 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 10 | 200 | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| Special Education | 11 | 167 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| AUSTELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 150 | 206 | 29 | 67 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 132 | 211 | 23 | 71 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 172 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| BAKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 115 | 216 | 17 | 72 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 220 | 13 | 75 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| BELLS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 89 | 212 | 13 | 81 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 78 | 215 | 8 | 86 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 185 | 55 | 45 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2009 Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2009 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BELMONT HILLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 79 | 205 | 32 | 65 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 73 | 206 | 32 | 64 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 13 | 190 | 69 | 31 | 0 |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| BIG SHANTY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 273 | 223 | 11 | 74 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 240 | 226 | 7 | 77 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 33 | 198 | 42 | 52 | 6 |
| BIRNEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 116 | 213 | 22 | 74 | 4 |
| Regular Program Students | 103 | 215 | 19 | 76 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 193 | 38 | 62 | 0 |
| BLACKWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 108 | 218 | 15 | 76 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 219 | 13 | 77 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 25 | 213 | 20 | 72 | 8 |
| BROWN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 28 | 204 | 29 | 71 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 25 | 203 | 28 | 72 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| BRUMBY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 110 | 204 | 29 | 69 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 99 | 207 | 24 | 74 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 176 | 73 | 27 | 0 |
| BRYANT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 132 | 207 | 22 | 75 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 121 | 208 | 20 | 77 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 193 | 45 | 55 | 0 |
| BULLARD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 213 | 229 | 8 | 73 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 183 | 233 | 4 | 74 | 21 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 30 | 205 | 33 | 63 | 3 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2009
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2009 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHALKER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 152 | 222 | 15 | 70 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 134 | 227 | 11 | 72 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 182 | 44 | 50 | 6 |
| CHEATHAM HILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 167 | 221 | 14 | 74 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 141 | 226 | 9 | 79 | 12 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 26 | 190 | 42 | 50 | 8 |
| CLARKDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 61 | 209 | 31 | 64 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 51 | 212 | 27 | 67 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 10 | 193 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| CLAY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 84 | 200 | 30 | 68 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 69 | 206 | 26 | 71 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 28 | 196 | 36 | 64 | 0 |
| Special Education | 15 | 169 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| COMPTON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 92 | 207 | 27 | 71 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 78 | 210 | 19 | 79 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 188 | 71 | 21 | 7 |
| DAVIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 88 | 231 | 7 | 70 | 23 |
| Regular Program Students | 72 | 235 | 4 | 68 | 28 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 213 | 19 | 81 | 0 |
| DEVEREUX |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Regular Program Students | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| DOWELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 155 | 213 | 23 | 69 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 135 | 217 | 19 | 73 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 20 | 186 | 55 | 45 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2009
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type

| 2009 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUE WEST |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 74 | 217 | 14 | 73 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 65 | 219 | 11 | 75 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| EAST SIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 174 | 237 | 5 | 71 | 24 |
| Regular Program Students | 148 | 240 | 3 | 72 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 26 | 218 | 19 | 69 | 12 |
| EASTVALLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 75 | 222 | 12 | 77 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 70 | 223 | 10 | 79 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| FAIR OAKS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 98 | 193 | 47 | 52 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 83 | 200 | 39 | 60 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | 17 | 186 | 76 | 24 | 0 |
| Special Education | 15 | 155 | 93 | 7 | 0 |
| FORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 159 | 225 | 5 | 86 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 138 | 225 | 5 | 87 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 21 | 225 | 5 | 76 | 19 |
| FREY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 109 | 225 | 6 | 77 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 93 | 229 | 2 | 80 | 18 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 197 | 31 | 63 | 6 |
| GARRISON MILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 111 | 228 | 4 | 85 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 98 | 231 | 2 | 85 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 211 | 15 | 85 | 0 |
| GREEN ACRES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 95 | 204 | 33 | 66 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 82 | 208 | 27 | 72 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | 21 | 200 | 43 | 57 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 180 | 69 | 31 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards
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| HARMONY-LELAND |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 72 | 216 | 18 | 74 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 60 | 221 | 8 | 82 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 12 | 187 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| HAYES |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 177 | 208 | 24 | 69 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 152 | 214 | 16 | 77 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | 14 | 168 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| Special Education | 25 | 174 | 72 | 24 | 4 |
| HOLLYDALE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 131 | 205 | 26 | 72 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 106 | 213 | 16 | 81 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 19 | 193 | 53 | 47 | 0 |
| Special Education | 25 | 172 | 68 | 32 | 0 |
| KEHELEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 85 | 225 | 14 | 67 | 19 |
| Regular Program Students | 67 | 235 | 7 | 69 | 24 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 18 | 187 | 39 | 61 | 0 |
| KEMP |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 156 | 227 | 6 | 79 | 14 |
| Regular Program Students | 137 | 230 | 3 | 81 | 16 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 205 | 32 | 68 | 0 |
| KENNESAW CHARTER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 71 | 212 | 21 | 70 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 57 | 218 | 11 | 79 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 14 | 187 | 64 | 36 | 0 |
| KINCAID |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 89 | 227 | 11 | 74 | 15 |
| Regular Program Students | 77 | 230 | 6 | 77 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 12 | 211 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| KING SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 89 | 218 | 12 | 79 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 80 | 222 | 8 | 84 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards
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| LABELLE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 66 | 203 | 32 | 68 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 53 | 208 | 23 | 77 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 203 | 25 | 75 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 182 | 69 | 31 | 0 |
| LEWIS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 169 | 211 | 20 | 77 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 146 | 214 | 16 | 80 | 3 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 23 | 193 | 43 | 57 | 0 |
| MABLETON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 63 | 222 | 14 | 73 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 50 | 224 | 12 | 74 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 212 | 23 | 69 | 8 |
| MABLETON IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 69 | 203 | 33 | 61 | 6 |
| Regular Program Students | 65 | 206 | 31 | 63 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| MILFORD |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 92 | 203 | 38 | 59 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 79 | 208 | 32 | 65 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 17 | 186 | 71 | 29 | 0 |
| Special Education | 13 | 169 | 77 | 23 | 0 |
| MOUNT BETHEL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 159 | 238 | 1 | 76 | 23 |
| Regular Program Students | 131 | 239 | 2 | 73 | 25 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 28 | 231 | 0 | 89 | 11 |
| MOUNTAIN VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 138 | 228 | 5 | 82 | 13 |
| Regular Program Students | 122 | 231 | 2 | 83 | 15 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 16 | 205 | 25 | 75 | 0 |
| MURDOCK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 151 | 230 | 11 | 72 | 17 |
| Regular Program Students | 122 | 234 | 4 | 79 | 17 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 29 | 214 | 41 | 45 | 14 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NICHOLSON |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 79 | 220 | 22 | 67 | 11 |
| Regular Program Students | 66 | 222 | 21 | 65 | 14 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 13 | 208 | 23 | 77 | 0 |
| NICKAJACK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 88 | 213 | 18 | 78 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 81 | 213 | 17 | 79 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| NORTON PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 124 | 200 | 35 | 64 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 112 | 203 | 32 | 66 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | 17 | 187 | 71 | 29 | 0 |
| Special Education | 12 | 168 | 58 | 42 | 0 |
| PICKETT'S MILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 119 | 231 | 9 | 72 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 102 | 235 | 4 | 75 | 21 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 17 | 205 | 41 | 53 | 6 |
| PITNER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 162 | 217 | 17 | 70 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 131 | 224 | 9 | 78 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 31 | 187 | 52 | 39 | 10 |
| POWDER SPRINGS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 166 | 210 | 22 | 73 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 140 | 212 | 18 | 76 | 6 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 26 | 198 | 42 | 58 | 0 |
| POWERS FERRY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 84 | 201 | 39 | 60 | 1 |
| Regular Program Students | 72 | 203 | 35 | 64 | 1 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 12 | 189 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| RIVERSIDE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 163 | 199 | 34 | 63 | 3 |
| Regular Program Students | 136 | 206 | 26 | 70 | 4 |
| Limited English Proficient | 24 | 196 | 25 | 75 | 0 |
| Special Education | 27 | 164 | 70 | 30 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards

Cobb County School District
Table II
Fifth Grade Writing Assesment: 2009
Percentages of Students in Performance Levels by Program Type
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROCKY MOUNT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 105 | 228 | 9 | 73 | 18 |
| Regular Program Students | 81 | 232 | 6 | 73 | 21 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 24 | 216 | 17 | 75 | 8 |
| RUSSELL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 109 | 209 | 25 | 71 | 5 |
| Regular Program Students | 99 | 211 | 21 | 74 | 5 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 10 | 194 | 60 | 40 | 0 |
| SANDERS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 149 | 203 | 34 | 64 | 2 |
| Regular Program Students | 130 | 208 | 28 | 69 | 2 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 170 | 74 | 26 | 0 |
| SEDALIA PARK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 140 | 222 | 11 | 79 | 10 |
| Regular Program Students | 125 | 227 | 6 | 82 | 11 |
| Limited English Proficient | 10 | 212 | 20 | 70 | 10 |
| Special Education | 15 | 183 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| SHALLOWFORD FALLS |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 112 | 248 | 3 | 63 | 35 |
| Regular Program Students | 84 | 251 | 0 | 63 | 37 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 28 | 241 | 11 | 61 | 29 |
| SKY VIEW |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 61 | 201 | 43 | 51 | 7 |
| Regular Program Students | 50 | 208 | 34 | 58 | 8 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 11 | 168 | 82 | 18 | 0 |
| SMYRNA IMAGINE INT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 51 | 211 | 14 | 86 | 0 |
| Regular Program Students | 50 | 211 | 14 | 86 | 0 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| SOPE CREEK |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 173 | 239 | 3 | 71 | 27 |
| Regular Program Students | 148 | 240 | 3 | 72 | 26 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 25 | 238 | 4 | 64 | 32 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
E = Exceeded Standards
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| 2009 | \# Tested | Average Scale Score | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STILL |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 116 | 219 | 11 | 80 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 97 | 223 | 6 | 84 | 10 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 19 | 202 | 37 | 63 | 0 |
| TEASLEY |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 74 | 223 | 7 | 85 | 8 |
| Regular Program Students | 69 | 222 | 7 | 86 | 7 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| TIMBER RIDGE |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 81 | 250 | 4 | 54 | 42 |
| Regular Program Students | 64 | 255 | 0 | 53 | 47 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 17 | 231 | 18 | 59 | 24 |
| TRITT |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 134 | 242 | 4 | 60 | 36 |
| Regular Program Students | 104 | 247 | 3 | 58 | 39 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 30 | 223 | 7 | 70 | 23 |
| VARNER |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 168 | 212 | 25 | 66 | 9 |
| Regular Program Students | 135 | 214 | 24 | 67 | 9 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 33 | 203 | 30 | 61 | 9 |
| VAUGHN |  |  | DNM | M | E |
| All Students | 186 | 224 | 9 | 80 | 12 |
| Regular Program Students | 166 | 226 | 6 | 81 | 13 |
| Limited English Proficient | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC | TFC |
| Special Education | 20 | 201 | 30 | 70 | 0 |

TFC = Too Few To Report (If a school had fewer than 10 students scores are not listed.)
DNM = Did Not Meet Standards
M = Met Standards
$E=$ Exceeded Standards

