## RFP 06-11, BANKING SERVICES EVALUATION

Sectior	n Evaluation Criteria	Poss Pts	BB&T		Bank of America		J.P. Morgan /Chase*Non-Responsive	
		0						
2	Met Minimum Requirements Proposal Requirements (Section 8.0 pg. 32-36) 8.1.2 - 1 pt. 8.3 - 6 pts. 8.4 - 5 pts. 8.4 - 5 pts. 8.6 - 2 pts. 8.7 - 2 pts. 8.8.1 - 1 pt. 8.8.2 - 2 pts. 8.9.1 - 3 pts. 8.10 - 4 pts. 8.12 - 1 pt. 8.13 - 1 pt. 8.13 - 1 pt. 8.14 - 1 pt. 8.15 - 2 pt. 8.16 - 2 pts. 8.17 - 2 pts. 8.20 - 4 pts. 8.22 - 3 pts. 8.23 - 5 pts. 8.24 - 3 pts. (Value Add Points)	47 3	32.5	Met Minimum Requirements 8.3 (-2 pt.) 2 districts not comparable in size to CCSD 8.4 (-1 pt.) Required documentation for Authorized Signers and Opening accounts is not feasible for a District the size of CCSD. 8.8.1 (-5.pt.) Token process not as secure as a security certificate process. 8.10 (-2pt.) Vendor response did not address 7.11.2. 8.13 (-5) Positive pay schedule update is not adequate. 8.14 (5) Vendor response notes a 500 item maximum before a charge is incurred. 8.15 (-2) Vendor response notes a "file" is required to process reversal. 8.20 (-2pt) Vendor notes only 15 locations in Cobb and primarily centrally located. 8.23 (-2pt.) Services not available for free: Remote Deposit has limits; PayMode option 8.24 (+1 pt) Pay(roll) card available	46.5	Met Minimum Requirements 8.9.1 (5 pt.) Interest rate is not most favorable	Met Minimum Requirements         8.3 (-3 pts.) 3 Districts not comparable in size to CCSD         (-1 pt.) Not providing supplies for the length of contract         (-5 pt.) Timeline does not meet required deadline         (-1 pt.) Vendor did not offer any solutions to offset internal costs for transition.         8.6 POC (-1 pt.) Response indicates a need for dual authorization for Book and Wire transfers         8.8.1 (5 pt.) Online Security does not require a security certificate process.         8.8.2 (-2pt) Online administrator security process is cumbersome, not easy to use and unclear.         POINT OF CLARIFICATION VERIFIED THIS VENDOR IS NON-RESPONSIVE PER 11.3.4 IN WHICH LOCAL SCHOOL ACCOUNTS WOULD INCUR SERVICE FEES.	
3	References (Section 12.0)	6	4	(-2.0 pt.) Prince William did not respond	2	(-2 pt.) Dekalb County Board of Education (-2 pt.) School Board of Broward County		
4	Organization and Completeness of Proposal	4	3.5	(5 pt) Response had some questions and answers out of order making it hard to navigate.	4			
	Non-Cost Sub-Total	60	40.00	VENDOR DID NOT MEET THE REQUIRED POINT MINIMUM OF 42 POINTS TO MOVE FORWARD TO HAVE COST EVALUATED	52.50			
5	COST POINT ALLOCATION Highest Earning Rate gets full points;	40			25.00	Interest Bearing Account Option \$202,000		
	Next highest / highest = % of highest = % X 40 pts. = Points allocated	40			40.00	Earnings Credit Rate Option \$ 327,000		
	TOTAL	100			77.50	Interest Bearing Account Option \$202,000		
		100			92.50	Earnings Credit Rate Option \$ 327,000		

## RFP 06-11, BANKING SERVICES EVALUATION

Section	Evaluation Criteria	Poss Pts	Fifth Third Bank*Non-Responsive		Suntrust		Wells Fargo*Non-Responsive	
1 2	Met Minimum Requirements           Proposal Requirements           (Section 8.0 pg. 32-36)           8.1.2 - 1 pt.           8.3 - 6 pts.           8.4 - 5 pts.           8.6 - 2 pts.           8.7 - 2 pts.           8.8.1 - 1 pt.           8.8.2 - 2 pts.           8.9.1 - 3 pts.           8.10 - 4 pts.           8.12 - 1 pt.           8.13 - 1 pt.           8.14 - 1 pt.           8.15 - 2 pt.           8.16 - 2 pts.           8.17 - 2 pts.           8.17 - 2 pts.           8.17 - 2 pts.           8.17 - 2 pts.           8.20 - 4 pts.           8.21 - 3 pts.           8.21 - 3 pts.           8.22 - 3 pts.           8.23 - 5 pts.           8.24 - 3 pts. (Value Add Points)	0 47 3	Met Minimum Requirements           0         8.1.2 (-0.5) Account Representative (Local Bank Officer) is not named nor is the location specified. 8.3 (-3 pts.) 3 Districts not comparable in size to CCSD           8.4 (-1 pt.) Not providing supplies for the length of contract (-1 pt.) Vendor did not offer any solutions to offset internal costs for transition.           8.7 POC (-1 pt.) Online/Email statement is not provided in the same format as the paper statement and when is it available.           8.8.1 (5pt.) Online Security does not require a security certificate process           8.8.2 (-1 pt.) User ID / Password is system generated by Vendor opposed to System Administrator           POINT OF CLARIFICATION VERIFIED THIS VENDOR IS NON-RESPONSIVE PER 7.8.3 BASED ON NOT AGREEING TO PROVIDE BANKING SUPPLIES AT NO CHARGE.	37	Met Minimum Requirements 8.3 (-3 pts.) Dgls. County School District not comparable in size to CCSD and Dekalb County Govt. is not a school district nor is it comparable in number of employees. 8.4 (-3 pt.) Vendor did not offer any solutions to offset internal costs for transition. 8.8.1 (-1 pt.) Online Security does not require a security certificate process and/or Token/Key Fob. 8.9.1 (-5 pt.) Not most favorable interest rate. 8.13 (-3) System update intervals are not addressed. 8.23 (-3pt.) Services not available for free: Remote Deposit; PayMode option 8.24 (+1 pt) Pay(roll) card available	0	Met Minimum Requirements         8.3 (-2 pts.) UGA not a school district nor comparable in size.         8.4 (-1 pt.) Not providing supplies for the length of contract) <ul> <li>(-1 pt.) Vendor did not offer any solutions to offset internal costs for transition.</li> <li>8.6 (-1 pt.) Historical data is not available beyond seven calendar days without special request.</li> <li>(-1 pt.) Online/Email statement is not provided in the same format as the paper statement and when is it available.</li> <li>8.1 (-5 pt.) Online Security does not require a security certificate process.</li> </ul> <li>POINT OF CLARIFICATION VERIFIED THIS VENDOR IS NON-RESPONSIVE PER 7.8.3 BASED ON NOT AGREEING TO PROVIDE BANKING SUPPLIES AT NO CHARGE;7.8.5 ADDITION OF NEW ACCOUNTS WILL INCUR COST FOR SUPPLIES; 11.3.4 LOCAL SCHOOL ACCOUNTS WILL NOT EARN INTEREST.</li>	
3	References (Section 12.0)	6		6				
4	Organization and Completeness of Proposal	4		4				
	Non-Cost Sub-Total	60		47.00				
5	<b>COST POINT ALLOCATION</b> Highest Earning Rate gets full points; Next highest / highest = % of highest = % X 40 pts. = Points allocated	40 40		19.00 36.00	Option 2 = \$ 151,650 Option 3 = \$293,500			
	TOTAL	100		66.00	Option 2 = \$ 151,650			
		100		83.00	Option 3 = \$293,500			